DANIEL J. LYNCH
19202 KEATING AVENUE
DETROIT MICHIGAN

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Royal Oak, Michigan December 12, 1938 Subscription: Three Dollars Per Year 10¢

ON THE SOVIET DEADLINE

by Thomas Mills

FROM THE TOWER

Father Coughlin

THE TALMUD AS A CAUSE OF PERSECUTION

Ben Marcin

URGENT NEED FOR ACTION

Rev. W. J. Randall

IN FRANCO'S SPAIN

Virginia McKay

NEWS OF THE WEEK



Ewing Galloway

SOCIAL JUSTICE departs from custom in giving its front page, not to the man or news event of importance this week, but to the most significant contribution to Christian civilization in this year, if not this decade. Quotations from "The Mystical Body of Christ in the Modern World," have been frequent in these columns and the radio addresses of Father Coughlin. Its wider acquaintance is almost indispensible.

Readers who are not only not enlightened but even bewildered by the press will be enabled, thanks to this book, to learn what is behind present-day events and the relation they bear to the real struggle going on in the world to dethrone Christ and His philosophy.

In some countries, notably Russia, Mexico and Spain, all pretense at secrecy has been withdrawn; in many other lands the same influences are at work, but their activities to a large extent are still subversive and underground.

The principal purpose of "The Mystical Body of Christ in the Modern World" is to deal, from the theological, philosophical and historical standpoint, with this modern revolt against the divine plan for the organization of Christian society.

This important book, from which SOCIAL JUSTICE will continue frequently to quote, is available at your bookshop.

VOL. 2A NO. 24

Social Justice

DECEMBER 12, 1938

CONTENTS

ARTICLES

On the Soviet Deadline
THOMAS MILLS PAGES 3-4

The Talmud as a Cause of Persecution
BEN MARCIN PAGES 5-6

The Urgent Need for Action
REV. W. J. RANDALL, C.M.S. PAGE 15

In Franco's Spain
VIRGINIA McKAY PAGES 16-17

RADIO BROADCAST

Highlights of the Broadcast
PAGES 10-11

"Truth Still Can Save America"
PAGE 19

FEATURES

The Week in the Nation
PAGE 9

Sport of the Nation
PAGE 12

The Week in the News
PAGE 13

The Week in the World
PAGE 14

Curbstone Editorials
PAGE 18

FROM THE TOWER

FATHER COUGHLIN PAGE 7

EDITORIALS

PAGE 8

MAN OF THE WEEK

"The American Hitler"
PAGE 20

COVER

"The Mystical Body of Christ"

SOCIAL JUSTICE, National Weekly. Copyrighted 1938, by The Social Justice Publishing Company; publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price, United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks is required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. Rev. Charles E. Coughlin, LL. D., Editorial Counsel (by permission of his Ecclesiastical Superior); E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted, either wholly or in part without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from Social Justice for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized.

Vol. 2A No. 24

For as the sufferings of Christ abound in us: so also by Christ doth our comfort abound. II Corinthians 1:5



THOSE who suffer physical ailments are perpetuating the Passion of Christ. They may be lowly members of the Mystical Body of Christ. They may be important members of an essential organism. For all of them, the prayers of those of us who are their fellow-men are requested — for their recovery.

Their sufferings redound to our credit, and our prayers assist them in their distress.

Each day, if you are a true follower of social justice, please include the Lord's Prayer in your devotions, for the welfare and speedy recovery of our sick.

Readers are invited to send in to Father Coughlin, at the Shrine of the Little Flower, in Royal Oak, Michigan, the names of sick and departed relatives and friends for whom remembrance can be made.

Nov. 29, 1938.

City and State	Name
Danvers, Mass.	Mrs. Edward J. Caskin
Holdingford, Minn.	Joseph Nashinina
Lebanon, Mo.	Mrs. Laura B. Beggs
Bellmore, N. Y.	Sylvester Carter
Brooklyn, N. Y.	Mrs. H. J. Gluckman
Buffalo, N. Y.	Mrs. Manzella
Cornwall, N. Y.	William Connolly
Glenham, N. Y.	Mrs. Eugene Murtha
Glenham, N. Y.	Mr. Murtha
Lancaster, N. Y.	Mrs. Chas. Zimmerman
Syracuse, N. Y.	Margaret Burgun
Wallum Lake, R. I.	Wilfrid J. Desautell

LOVE is not time's fool.
The grave is not the end-all of life.

True believers in social justice continue to manifest their love for their dead, who really are still living in the Land of God.

Consequently, we ask you not only to pray that God will crown the life of one who has passed to the beyond with eternal happiness; we ask you who are still in this land of tears and sorrows, to perform a kind deed as a gesture of love for our faithful departed.

When you bestow an alms-deed on a hungry person; when you clothe a naked orphan; when you restrain and overcome a temptation, do this as an Act of Love, for one who, we hope, is waiting to receive us some day soon in Christ's kingdom, where poverty and passion and distress are unknown.

This is the real spirit of devotees of social justice.

Nov. 29, 1938.

City and State	Name
Pontiac, Mich.	Joseph L. Marcero
Minneapolis, Minn.	Mary Gannon
Bronx, N. Y.	Hannah O'Keefe
Brooklyn, N. Y.	Mr. William Howard
Mamaroneck, N. Y.	Mary Frances Browne
Dayton, Ohio	Mr. Sullivan
Easton, Pa.	Paul E. Villinger

WATCH FOR THESE IN SOCIAL JUSTICE

"HERE is my check for $100. please send me that record Father Coughlin played last Sunday over the radio." This unique request received by Father Coughlin's office, has been complied with.

It raises an interesting question whether other listeners in Father Coughlin's vast radio audience would like to preserve either the Sunday talks of the Radio Priest, or the Prayer and "Thought for the Week" which usually close the "most worth while hour in radio."

If requests for either the recorded talks or the popular "Thought for the Week" are received in sufficient number to indicate a demand that would justify the expense of their preparation, phonograph recordings, as well as the published pamphlet containing the addresses, will be made available.

What do readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE think of the idea?

"ON THE SOVIET DEADLINE," the first of a new series of articles by Thomas Mills, exposing the crack-up of State Communism in Soviet Russia, appears in this issue of SOCIAL JUSTICE. The articles will record typical experiences and factual impressions of the writer during ten years intimate contact with the Soviet Union, as a mining and construction engineer and as a relief director.

THOMAS MILLS

* * *

ALIEN TERMITES IN WASHINGTON. The first in a promised series of disclosures by our Washington correspondent, exposing the activities of alien subversives behind the scenes at our national headquarters, will appear in SOCIAL JUSTICE in the edition of December 19. Who's Who in the spy racket? Do not miss this new series of important articles.

OLD MOSCOW

ON THE SOVIET DEADLINE

BY THOMAS MILLS

With Counter-Revolution Widespread, in Defiance of G.P.U. Terrorism, the Failure of State Communism in Russia Becomes Daily More Apparent to the Whole World.



A LONE REMNANT—A PRIEST ESCAPED TO THE HILLS
This venerable member of the Russian Orthodox Church has gone about in full clerical garb and wearing part of the Church vestments. Wholly unmolested, thanks to taking to the hills, he hasn't missed a day in the discharge of his priestly duties.
Great numbers of Russian clergymen have taken to begging; while still greater numbers are living in enforced exile.

On a Moscow government building reads this inscription: *"Revolution is a storm sweeping aside everything that stands in its path."* So much for the tersely put keynote of the Soviet and the expressed savagery of red revolution—political change founded on violence.

But revolutions have yet to sweep aside or suppress human instincts and longings for justice and right. And therein the Kremlin government, despite its twenty-first year of ruthless dominance over the Russian nation, continues to be shaken, sometimes to its foundations by plots for its overthrow.

Numbers of its government heads, long conspicuous as leaders who originally helped shape the Soviet State and direct its destinies, continue to figure in these "underground" mass plots against the present dictatorship. Since March, 1937, executions for alleged traitorous offenses among Soviet officials have run into thousands. Among the victims to face the kremlin's firing squads have been many of the greatest leaders produced by the revolution, including ambassadors and ministers of state as well as the highest chiefs of the Red army, navy and Soviet air corps and the all-powerful G.P.U. secret corps.

Counter-revolt is the only way as yet that an outraged society can express itself in Russia. The common enemy of dictatorship bores from within. In retaliation the one last desperate treatment left to the internally-shaken Soviet regime continues to be drastic blood baths and violent political repressions and purges.

The ghastly toll of victims of mass murder and expulsion promises to prelude an unprecedented terrorist regime destroying itself. Righteously unafraid in the face of Moscow's deadliest assaults on human liberty; steeled by truth and defiant even in the face of regimented murder squads, dungeons and flesh torturing ordeals—a long outraged humanity continues to seek its common rights and heritage and to oppose, in secret, Moscow's oppression.

With virtually every leader of ability that shared in the founding and guiding of the Soviet State now destroyed, Stalin has made himself sole ruler of more lives than that of any sovereign king in the history of mankind. His autocratic power is without a parallel even among Russia's early bloodthirsty rulers, such as Ivan the Terrible, whose reign was one of unceasing executions, torture and cruelties that topped even the bloodiest tyrants of the Roman empire.

What is best described as Stalin's strong right arm and guiding light is that all-powerful and sinister branch of the Soviet State known as the Department of Internal Affairs, formerly called the "G.P.U.," which secret political police under Stalin's faithful headman, Yeezhoff, controls and watches over all the vital affairs of State administration, including that of the Red army and navy. All political and blood purges in the U.S.S.R. are carried out by this secretly functioning branch of the Soviet, whose agents and their underlings (stool pigeons) are conservatively estimated to number up to three million informers in the Soviet State alone.

Yeezhoff's predecessor, the infamous Yagoda, was, according to his own gruesome confession, a criminal fiend and a crafty murderer unmatched by any of the old Moscow's former police heads. He was most fittingly fed his

own violent medicine in the torture chambers over which he ruled from 1933 to 1936—the arch Judas going to his death with the blood of countless victims on his hands and the dying curses of scores of slain Soviet chiefs ringing in his ears.

There is, however, ample evidence throughout the heavily shackled country that the rank and file of Russians refuse to be intimidated or stampeded from their right, at least, to observe openly their holy days. In spite of the kremlin's intensified anti-religious drives, in the Soviet capital itself the few remaining churches continue to be packed with worshipers. This happens even during the most unfavorable times and conditions, when, for example, great gatherings, unable to enter the already overcrowded churches, remain outside and follow the services as best they can, this continuing even amidst rain or frosty weather.

Thus, with the early morning worshipers jamming the churches to suffocation, and with other thousands massed in the streets outside, every head bowed in prayer, the kremlin's heaviest assaults on religion have only served to fire the masses with greater fervor and devotion.

Stalin's position is somewhat reminiscent of the godless dictatorship of Caesar's Rome. It was those Romans closest to Caesar, and who were jealous of his long dominance over vast areas and dissimilar populations—not unlike the varied races composing the Union of Soviet Republics—who engineered Caesar's murder.

TODAY, the world is witnessing the moral and intellectual collapse of Moscow's brand of Communism, this after 21 years of tragic national sacrifices and herculean labors of a hundred million slaves. The Soviet ideal and goal, as once envisaged and passionately defended by Lenin, has been wiped out or shelved. In proportion as Lenin's unworkable dogma has been scrapped by the Soviet powers, the once bitterly condemned tenets and practices of the capitalistic world have been adopted.

Both in and outside of Russia, the definite failure of State Communism to take effective root has been amply demonstrated in whatever lands its pioneers have sought foothold. In whatever countries the kremlin has poured its countless millions of gold rubles and its cunningly devised and matchless propaganda, it has failed of its object.

The national tragedy of Spain, caught between the twin fires of Fascism and Communism, has Moscow chiefly to blame for that once mighty empire's cultural and architectural treasures having been reduced to a shambles and the flower of a once peerless people wiped out. It was of course the threat of Moscow's Bolshevism that turned what would have been a short-lived civil war into one of the most barbaric of modern international wars.

During the past year or two, tens of thousands have been purged from the Moscow Communist party or dismissed from key positions and diplomatic posts in disgrace. All were suspected of being State plotters or wreckers. Needless to add, the firing squads scored a high toll among such suspects. The great bulk of these victims, if guilty of the charges made against them, were, in the main, driven to commit such indiscretions by an intolerable political and economic situation from which they sought to escape. Those victims, who really have nothing to confess, after being kept in solitary confinement for months and enduring the horrors of remorseless G.P.U. inquisitors, are usually ready to "confess" anything.

The terrorism of the G.P.U. is illustrated by a story which concerns the spy psychosis. It appears that an unhappy Soviet subject, having become unbearably jittery, turned on his own shadow and shouted, "Stop it! Stop shadowing me—I'll confess!"

His shadow, so the story goes, even more jittery than the crazed victim, screamed back: "Shadow or substance, *to confess now means the firing squad for both of us!*"

ONE needs only the briefest contact with the rank and file of Russians to become aware that everyone is a potential spy for or against Moscow. In fact, it is really smart in the Red realm to have a healthy distrust of everyone, including one's own family and friends. Moscow has broadcast orders that every Russian subject should be a spy for the Soviet.

Lenin and his group of fellow conspirators seized power at a time when no real leadership backed up the tottering government and when the Allied powers chose to desert Russia in her darkest hour.

Seizing not only the fallen reins of government with the minimum of effort and bloodshed, but also an inexhaustible mineral wealth and art treasures of the empire as well, the Soviet commissars have since had easy access to Russia's rich agricultural yields. The fabulous millions of expropriated national and private wealth has since kept the prolonged Soviet experiment from collapse.

The Moscow experiment in Communism has been fair and frankly expressive in one thing—that of waving and keeping to the fore the color which so fittingly warns of a dangerous condition or experiment, that of the red flag. It apparently has frightened a long bewildered world, as much as it has been a warning to normal people that the kremlin has been fostering a deadly political and economic fruit, a treacherous and unworkable dogma that poisons the best things in civilization.

As unbridled evil begets further evil, so has the more recent evil of State Fascism come into being as the offspring of State Communism—both equally perilous to the civil rights and liberties of mankind.

From the beginning Bolshevism has sought to crush the culture and spiritual achievements of man, and all individual initiative. Moscow's ceaseless war on religious worship goes on, destroying everything ecclesiastical, including libraries and even the humble collections of religious books in schools and homes. The Bible, Dante, Milton and all writers, old and new, all have been systematically destroyed. Thus from 1917 onward, under what seems a conclave of master-criminals, the leaders of the Red rabble not only destroyed all religious works, but wholesale murder as well occupied a large part of their bestial crusade.

The former churches are today mostly used by the nondescript populations of Russia as cinema theaters and for other secular uses; the finest and most historic of such landmarks are now museums.

Among the many signs that the power and statecraft of Bolshevism has reached its deadline, with gradual change and dismemberment now facing it, is that of the wierd and lawless spectacle of the all-covetuous military drives and vast territorial seizures, on the part of blustering little Japan, going on unmolested or challenged by Moscow, this in neighboring China and actually directed to the Soviet Union's own backyard and borders.

Plainly, the shrewdly calculating Japanese have been so successfully driving ahead and with such little or no opposition on the part of Moscow to the little Fascist State's unprecedented aggressions, that Toyko has actually challenged the U. S. S. R. to the right of occupying the Soviet Union's own charted territory. Bluntly, the Japanese have been feeling for some time that it is their great chance to beat the Soviet out in the Reds' own long practiced role—that of seeking to subjugate other countries to its rule. In the present instance the victim happens to be China.

It is obviqus that the Krelmin government has been too desperately concerned with its own uncertain defensive outlook and in battling within its own gravely imperiled borders, to risk hostilities with its neighboring enemy and long-time antagonist. Like most everything else Soviet-branded, Moscow has been having serious disaffection within its own immense military or war machine. An ominous example of this was the discovery that the fifteen great military divisions of the Red army were reeking, from top to bottom, with what was reported as "traitorous activities" and with many of its highest chiefs involved.

Marshal Vassily Bluecher, commander in chief of the Soviet army in the Far East, was arrested and imprisoned on orders from Moscow. This is the most startling in view of the marshal's distinguished military record and the general belief that he was ambitious to make Russia a great military power.

The kremlin regime no longer exerts any influence on the course of European affairs and very little in the Far East. This is the more amazing when it is remembered that Soviet Russia continues the largest and most potentially powerful country in all the world.

Showing a section of the original Red Square and St. Basil, the cathedral now turned into a museum.

The Talmud as a cause of PERSECUTION



BY BEN MARCIN

Throughout the Centuries, the "Unwritten Law" Code of Jewish Leaders Has Forced Their People Into Actions which Create Conflict and Persecution, in One Nation After Another.

QUOTING from the first volume of *The Jewish Library*, published by the Union of Orthodox Jewish Congregations of America, entitled "Essentials of Judaism," with a subtitle: "A Guide to Facts of Jewish Law and Life," written by the general editor, Rabbi Leo Jung, we read:

"Jewish law is composed of the oral law and the written one. The latter is contained in the Pentateuch, the *Torah* proper. The former was for a long time kept unwritten, handed down by word of mouth from generation to generation. 'Moses handed it down to Joshua, and Joshua to the Elders, and the Elders to the Prophets, and the Prophets to the men of the Great Synod, and the men of the Great Synod to the Rabbis' (*Mishnah, Aboth*, I, i), until with the dispersion of Israel, the danger arose that it might be forgotten. Rabbi Judah, the Prince, (200 C.E.) finally collected and edited it in the *Mishnah*. The *Mishnah*, then, contains the body of Jewish law. As with all other legislations, these laws were commented upon to establish their exact force. They were discussed in the Jewish academies of Palestine and Babylonia, and we possess authentic records of these discussions which are called Gemara. About 400 C.E. in Palestine, and about 500 C.E. in Babylonia, these discussions were collected and, together with the *Mishnah*, they were embodied in one great volume—the *Talmud*. The *Talmud* is an encyclopedia of Jewish lore and life, for, in addition to the laws, it contains the maxims, parables, mottoes, which were in vogue through the centuries, as winged words in the Jewish academies. Each of the two countries produced its own *Talmud*, and we have thus the Palestinian and the Babylonian *Talmudim*, of which the latter, more fully preserved, is authoritative for the Jews:

"These *Talmudin* contains many thousand pages of tremendous interest and value to the student; but they are too large and too all-embracing to help the layman in finding the law in every instance. Hence several attempts have been made to excerpt the *Talmud* or to rearrange it, so that it might be easier even for the unlearned to handle. Maimonides (13th Century) re-arranged the *Talmud*, with a view of systematizing its laws, and Rabbi Joseph Caro (17th Century) finally wrote his *'Shulchan Aruch,'* which in four volumes comprises every aspect of Jewish law; it is our authoritative code. This code, which grew out of the text of the *Talmud* together with the many discussions of the Rabbis of latter days, is being continually brought up to date by the Respona (*Teshuvoth*) of the Rabbinic authorities, who have to decide with every change of condition how the immutable law of Judaism is to be applied. *There is thus an unbroken chain of Jewish tradition connecting the days of Moses with our own. Never in the whole course of Jewish law was any change made in the law.*"

The *Jewish Encyclopedia*, Vol. 12, states: "For the majority of Jews, it (*The Talmud*) is still the supreme authority in religion . . . It occupies a prominent place in the curricula of the Rabbinical seminaries."

THE effects of the *Talmud* as presented by Msgr. Landrieux, Bishop of Dijon, France, in his excellent work, *"L'Histoire et Les Histoires dans la Bible,"* are as follows:

"It is a systematic deformation of the Bible . . . The pride of race with the idea of universal domination is therein exalted to the height of folly . . . For the Talmudist, the Jewish race alone constitutes humanity, the *non-Jews are not human beings*. They are of a purely animal nature. They have no rights. The moral laws which regulate the mutual relations of men, the Ten Commandments, are not of obligation in their regard. They oblige exclusively between Jews. With regard to the Goys (non-Jews), everything is allowed: robbery, fraud, perjury, murder. When the *Talmud* became better known, especially in the sixteenth century, thanks to the invention of printing, such indignation was aroused throughout the Catholic world that a Jewish General Assembly in 1631 gave orders that the most obnoxious passages should not be printed, but added that, a little circle, (O), should be put in the place of the suppressed passages. This will warn the Rabbis and the school teachers that they are to teach these passages orally so that the learned among the Christians 'may not have any pretext for attacking us in this regard.' In our day the *Talmud* does not provoke either astonishment or anger amongst Catholics, *because it is no longer known.*"

Why is *The Talmud* no longer known? The *"Dictionnaire Apologetique de la Foi Catholique,"* in the article of "Juifs et Chretians" (Cols. 1691-94) gives a long list of Papal Decrees condemning the *Talmud* and the Talmudic formation. It was condemned again by Benedict XIV in his Encyclical letter: *"A Quo Primum,"* (1751). His predecessor, Innocent III prohibited Christians from admitting Jews to their towns because the *Talmud* bound the Jews to return evil for good.

We know the *Talmud* is essentially the same today as in the days of Moses, according to reliable Jewish sources of evidence. If it was condemned in all ages since it became known in 1240 why is it unknown today amongst Christians today? Has Jewish power penetrated the mighty fortress of the Church and "silenced" these facts? This doctrine so opposed to Christianity?

Hillaire Belloc in his book *"The Jews"* states:

"The cause of friction on our part is due to the fact that we dare not or will not teach in our history books the plain facts of the relations between our own race and the Jews. The special character of the Jew, his actions through the secret societies, and in the various revolutions of foreign states, his rapid acquisition of power, through finance, political and social, all that is left out."

Why are history books so distorted? Why is education such a sham that the word "Jew" rarely appears in print? Why do the Jewish international financiers control the text-books of all nations, by control of the publishing houses, so that our very money system is a complete mystery, even to a man who receives a Doctor of Philosophy Degree after 16 years of study? In fact, one may become a Ph.D. without knowing very much about a lot of things.

IT is evident, therefore, that the expressions and actions authorized in *The Talmud*, is the focal point of friction between the Jews and the non-Jews, but by the "conspiracy of silence," this real cause never appears in print, and is hardly ever mentioned.

The Reverend Dr. Dennis Fahey, in his book, *"The Mystical Body of Christ in the Modern World,"* states:

"In the Jewish organ (*L'Univers Israelite*) the following quotation appears:

"'For two thousand years, *The Talmud* has been and remains an object of veneration for the sons of Israel whose religious Code it is. The absolute superiority of *The Talmud* over the Bible of Moses must be recognized by all.'

"That pitiful and regrettable Talmudic formation, with its inevitable resultant aversion and contempt of the Jew for the non-Jew evidently still flourishes. The expressions of that contempt, especially the Jewish attitude toward non-Jewish female honor, leads to reactions on the part of non-Jews, and thus friction in country after country becomes unavoidable."

The Jewish people, the "common Jews," are not to blame for transcribing the rules of *The Talmud* into life. From the cradle to the grave, the Jew is taught this.

Why do the Jewish leaders prohibit the Jews from reading the New Testament? Why is this story of human love and brotherhood denied? Why is this unparalleled story of beauty and truth ridiculed? Because the rulers of Jewry today are but the extension of the same Sanhedrin that ordered the execution of Christ!

No wonder St. Thomas Aquinas insists (IIIa, P.Q. 47, a. 6 ad-lum) that the excusing words of our Lord, "Father, forgive them for they know not what they do," (St. Luke xxii, 34) were uttered on behalf of the common people, but NOT on behalf of the princes of the Jews.

Christ had excoriated these false leaders with the terms of "Hypocrite, Whited Sepulchres, brood of vipers, devourers of the homes of widows and orphans."

Jewish spokesmen plead for suppression of facts in the name of "religious persecution." Let it be clear that no one imbued with the true American spirit would or could condemn, hinder, or even remonstrate with any Jew *on account of his religious faith*. We merely assert that according to *The Talmud*, the Jewish people *are victimized by the Elders of Zion*, because by the actions therein prescribed, the Jewish people are forced to actions which create the inevitable friction which causes such cruel persecutions.

Dr. Oscar Levy, a world famous Jew, in a letter to Mr. Pitt-Rivers, which appeared in *The Dearborn Independent*, once wrote:

"There is no doubt that the Jews' influence today justifies a very careful scrutiny, and cannot possibly be viewed without serious alarm . . . For there is an anti-Semitism, I hope and trust, which does the Jews more justice than any blind philo-Semitism . . .

"I defend you against any accusation of promoting race hatred. *If you*

are an anti-Semite, I, the Semite, am an anti-Semite too, and a much more fervent one than even you are . . . We (Jews) have erred, my friend, we have most grievously erred. And if there was truth in our error, 3000, 2000, nay 100 years ago, there is now nothing but falseness and madness, a madness that will produce an even greater misery and an even wider anarchy . . . We who have posed as the saviours of the world, we who have even boasted of having given it "The Saviour," we are today nothing else but the world's seducers, its destroyers, its incendiaries, and its executioniers . . . We who have promised to lead you to a new Heaven, we have finally succeeded in landing you into a new Hell . . . There has been no progress, least of all moral progress . . . And it is just our morality, which has prohibited all real progress, and, what is worse, which even stands in the way of every future and natural reconstruction in this ruined world of ours . . . I look at this world, and I shudder at its ghastliness; I shudder all the more as I know the spiritual authors of all this ghastliness."

Here is an *honest Jew* who knows only too well that false leadership in high places is responsible for the chaos in the world today. These leaders plan to tear down Christian civilization, only that they might impose their own peculiar brand of civilization and culture on all countries everywhere. While the secret history of all nations discloses that the Jews have suffered persecution *because of their own acts and practices.*

No wonder Oscar Straus, a prominent New York Jew, in 1933, sounded a timely warning to the Jews of the United States. Knowing full well the American people, he said: "If my people do not mend their ways and be good citizens in fact, *pretty soon the time is coming when America is going to see pogroms beside which the pogroms of Europe have been nothing, nothing!"*

Surely, the Jews cannot accuse Mr. Straus of being "anti-Semitic." Nor can they accuse Dr. Oscar Levy of being anti-Semitic because he not only sees, but has the courage to speak.

THE American people should have the facts about what is going on in Germany. The unjust, cruel, and wanton persecution of the common Jew in Germany is a blot against the German government and a reflection of the savagery of the German high officials. Let the German high officials go after the high Jews, who keep the poor Jew in bondage, who tell him that he is to attain rule over the entire earth, imposing their own particular brand of civilization and culture on all countries everywhere, whether those countries like it or not.

These high Jews are solely responsible for the vicious persecution of the poor little Jew. He is only the victim, once more, as he has been down the ages.

Viewed in the light of humanity, abuses now being heaped upon the Jewish people of Germany by the Nazis cannot be condoned. Examined in the light of history since the World War, they are quite easily understood. It might be well for thinking Americans, including Jews, to exercise a cursory glance at post-war conditions in Germany in order more clearly to grasp the reasons for anti-Semitism in the Reich.

It must be emphasized that Nazism in Germany is defensive. It is the direct and proximate result of a post-war attempt on the part of Communists to destroy the German nation. All discussion of the current anti-Semitic question in Germany must necessarily start with this premise and, as a corollary to this, it must be forcefully pointed out that Fascism throughout the world is a defensive mechanism reared against the onslaught of Communism.

Following the Armistice in 1918, hordes of Russian Communists, swooped like vultures on the carrion that was prostrate Germany. By an amazing coincidence leaders of the German Communist Party, seeking to batten on the misfortunes of German people, were Jewish—Karl Liebnecht, Rosa Luxemburg, Karl Radek, Levine-Nissen, Otto Marquardt, Max Holz, President Kurt Eisner, President Ebert and Chancellor Stressmann. The fact that these men were Jews in close communication with Russian Bolshevism might be set down as simply a historical coincidence, but the fact that, under the aegis of Marxian philosophy, they sought to buckle the yoke of Communism on the German people is a most noteworthy historical incident and was bound to have the reaction which is now seen in the Third Reich.

FOR fifteen years Germany was held in the Red talons of Bolshevism. During that time its press was taken over, its commercial life was ruined. The currency of the nation was debased to ridiculous extremes. German social life was poisoned. Free love was introduced. Lands were confiscated. The stream of education was polluted by Red propaganda. German international financiers, through the iniquitous levies of the Treaty of Versailles, were profiting on the miseries of the German people. The simple historical fact is that for fifteen years Germany was throttled by a combination of international Jewish financiers and Russian Communism, inflicted by Jews upon the nation.

This is not anti-Semitism. Neither is it an extenuation of the vengeance now being wreaked by the German people on Jews. It is merely cited as another example in world history of the natural reaction which a regenerated people will exercise upon those they regard as their former persecutors.

The present unfortunate conduct of the German government towards the Jews could have been obviated by the leaders of Jewry after the close of the World War. These giants of Judaism throughout the world applauded the rise of Bolshevism in Russia. They gloried in the murder of the Romanoffs. They remained silent during the years in which 17 million Christians were slaughtered by the "proletariat dictator." Not alone by their silence but by actual co-operation they encouraged the rape of Germany.

The reaction was inevitable. Regardless of what America might think of Chancellor Hitler, he succeeded in unifying the German people. With this rebirth of national spirit came the desire to even the score with the Jews. This is deplorable, but the history of mankind is no long vista of sweet-smelling flowers.

The significant fact in connection with conditions in Germany at present is the frantic attempt to enlist American sympathy for the Jews in the Reich. It is out of all proportion to any previous effort to soften the heart of America in favor of an "oppressed minority."

During the centuries of Ireland's fight to free herself from international materialism, no resounding, heart-rending sob of compassion for the Irish went up from America. The press of the United States was noticeably lacking in such epithets as "barbaric," "bestial," "mad," and "devilish" in its references to the hangings and imprisonment of the downtrodden Irish. International bankers did not call on the angels of mercy to intercede in behalf of the peasants of Waterford, Cork, Limerick and Kerry.

When the international finaciers, aided by Belgian soldiers, shot down the chiefs of the Belgian Congo in cold blood, there was no hysterical cry for sympathy for the slaughtered natives and their families.

The world did not resound with lamentations when the British forces, spurred on by international bankers, tied the leaders of the Sepoy mutiny in India to the mouths of canons and blew them to bits.

More recently, there was little weeping and wailing when thousands of Christian priests and nuns were slaughtered in Communist Spain. There has been no loud and vociferous protest against the exiling of bishops, priests and nuns from Communist Mexico. The conclusion is inevitable that the turning on of American spigots of sympathy depends upon whose ox is being gored.



ON THE RIVER TIGRIS PRIMITIVE METHODS OF WORK ARE STILL EMPLOYED TO CARRY ON DAILY COMMERCE.

The International Bankers

Guest article by the Rev. Denis Fahey, C.S.Sp., B.A., D.Ph., D.D.

Published by Browne & Nolan, Limited.

NOTE: *Hoping to publicize "The Mystical Body of Christ in the Modern World," I am inviting you to read this excerpt—C.E.C.*



FROM THE TOWER

IN THE whole question of national liberty and of the development of human personality through the full acknowledgment by a nation of the Kingship of Christ, the necessity of keeping money and those who manipulate it in their proper place must never be lost sight of. The independence of a nation is only nominal, if those who govern it and its whole organization of production and consumption are at the mercy of international groups, especially when the fact is clearly grasped that these leading financiers, with international and supranational relations, are to such a large extent members of one very aggressive nation, the Jewish nation.

Artificial wealth, such as money, has been "invented by the art of man for the convenience of exchange and as a measure of things saleable." *(Ia. IIae. Q. 2, a. 1, c.)* Money, therefore, is meant to facilitate the acquisition by man of that sufficiency of material goods, or natural wealth, which is required to satisfy the needs of the body, so that the soul may be unimpeded in the cultivation of virtue. *(Cf. St. Thomas, De Regimine Principium, l. 1, c. 15.)*

The unchecked individualism, characteristic of the Calvinist or Puritan industrial system, which has taken the place of the Catholic guild or corporate system, itself the economic expression of the solidarity of the Mystical Body of Christ, has resulted in industry or production and the producers being more and more at the mercy of financial groups, largely Jewish. *(Cf. Die Juden im Wirtschaftsleben, by W. Sombart, as well as an article in the Clergy Review (March, 1931), by Dr. P. Coffey, on "Capital Ownership and Credit Control.")*

In addition, gold, which is the unit of exchange between producer and consumer, should circulate freely, yet the circulation of gold has almost completely ceased since the great war began in 1914. Gold, as we learn from an able series of articles in the R.I.S.S. *(Issues of September 21, 1930, November 16, 1930, December 21, 1930, May 15, 1932)*, now passes only between the masters of finance, who are divided into two opposing camps. As the German-Jew, Heinrich Heine (1799-1856), wrote in 1840: "the Rabbis of Finance are today fighting no less bitterly than Shammai and Hillel formerly." (Shammai and Hillel were two Jewish teachers of great renown in Jerusalem about 40 B. C.)

These two camps may be designated as Rothschild's on the one hand and Bleichroeder-Mendelssohn's on the other. (Why is it that nobody seems to be interested in the fact that gold sovereigns are worth over 1 pound 11s. Od. in paper money at the moment of writing (Spring, 1934)? May there not be some connection between the struggle alluded to in the text and this curious phenomenon?) Each is aiming at being the controlling influence in the Jewish power over the world by finance. Behind the group Bleichroeder-Mendelssohn stand the four Jewish-German banks known as the four big D's (Deutsche Bank, Dresdener Bank, Darmstadter Bank, Diskonto-Gesellschaft), the H. Schroeder Bank of London, and Kuhn, Loeb & Co. of New York.

From the battle of Waterloo to the Franco-Prussian War, the Rothschilds knew no rivals, but the gold which victorious Germany exacted from France enabled the Bleichroeder-Mendelssohn group to enter the field against them. During the interval between the Franco-Prussian War and that of 1914, the rival groups fought each other on the economic and industrial field, with a few minor armed conflicts in between. The most fertile field of the Bleichroeder-Mendelssohn group, outside of Germany, was in the United States. From the Versailles treaty onwards, the game of the adversaries has been played cautiously, but the Rothschild group was not granted a large quantity of gold as a war indemnity.

Many curious events since the war can be more easily explained, if one keeps in view the struggle going on in the world of finance. The United States, for example, as one of the main bases of the Bleichroeder-Mendelssohn group, not only claims payments from France, but seeks at the same time to decrease those due to France from Germany. In so far as the accumulation of gold is an indication of strength, the Rothschilds are not beaten. It is true that, on the other hand, their adversaries have let loose Bolshevism in Spain, one of the Rothschilds' fiefs, that revolutions have broken out in South American countries, where their interests were paramount, that Australia has been badly upset financially, and so on. To defend themselves, the Rothschilds have withdrawn into their coffers in France, Belgium, Switzerland, and Holland all the gold that they had in the countries which have revolted against them, namely, England and the United States. Their enemies have made use of these two last-named powers especially, in order to bring about the ruin and fall of the dynasty that has ruled Europe since the battle of Waterloo.

In connection with this short summary of lengthy articles in R.I.S.S. of Paris, the following extracts, typical of many such from the same pen, should be borne in mind: "Our (English) financial system"... is virtually the European branch of the international financial power which at present acts from New York.... Our bankers are the dependents of, the agents for, and are compelled to work in union with, the bankers of New York." (H. Belloc, in *G. K.'s Weekly*, September 17, 1932, in an article entitled, "The Debt to America;" on page 166.) Again: "In our (English) plutocracy, the true holders of power are naturally and necessarily those who control the economic machine, to whom may be added, in some degree, those who control information, the power of falsifying or withdrawing news, and therefore the power of personal blackmail. The active influence of the various interests goes in a descending scale. First come the banks, that is, big money dealers who work with, and are dependent upon international finance; then come the controllers of the great capitalist monopolies in transport by sea and land, in distribution, and (to a lesser extent) in production. Then, a long way after these, come the newspaper owners; and a very long way after these last, the professional politicians, who have now and then some say in the game, but who are for the most part and most of the time no more than the servants of the great economic forces which are our masters and theirs.... That is the hierarchy under which we live, and the order of precedence does not change. But though the order of precedence in power does not change, the relative weight of the various members does change.... The bankers are still the most powerful individual group. But they are more divided among themselves than ever... (of course) the bankers being but part of international finance, were bound to consider interests other than those of their country, and especially those of New York." (H. Belloc in *G. K.'s Weekly*, November 14, 1931.) (Cf. *The Reign of the House of Rothschild*, by Count Corti. Cf. Appendices I, II, III.)

The abandonment of the gold standard would seem to indicate a triumph for the anti-Rothschild group. It must, however, not be forgotten that the two groups are aiming at absolute control of the International Bank, which, thanks to its possession of the world's gold, its mastery over raw material and its grip upon industry, will be able to decree the inauguration of a system of international currency.

"Newspapers have repeatedly drawn public attention to the steady flow of gold from England and the United States to France. But how many among the general public know the hidden cause of this move, and who will believe that the Rothschilds are acting thus in mere self-defense against the Judaeo-German-American aggressive group which may at any moment decree the 'nationalization' or 'confiscation' of banks through the medium of a Bolshevist government? It would be an error, however, to imagine that the Rothschilds' deals take into consideration the welfare of the French people. No. France is nothing more than a base of operations and the one thing of paramount importance is the ultimate and complete victory of the Rothschilds over their rivals. How many people know that the Judaeo-German-American group of Bleichroeder, which conceived the Dawes and Young Plans and started the International Bank, is at the present moment elaborating plans for the abolition of the gold standard and the creation of a kind of super-money which shall be that of the International Bank? Such, however, is the fact. This plan is called Nationalization." (Article in R.I.S.S. September, 1930, on "The Real Cause of Wars.") It is worthy of note that the article was published in September, 1930, and that England went off the gold standard in 1931.

On the whole question of money and of the significance of the gold standard in allowing the private creators of money to make large fortunes without effort, at the expense of the ordinary hard-working citizens and to control governments. (Cf. "Money Creators," by Miss G. M. Coogan; "The Role of Money," by Professor Soddy; "The Breakdown of Money," by C. Hollis; also "Promise to Pay," by R. McNair Wilson, and "A Fraudulent Standard," by A. Kitson.)

And when the victory over the non-Jews is complete, an understanding can be arrived at. As Mr. Belloc pithily expresses it: "It is so with those great financial monopolists who alone hold the real powers in the modern world. They will fight, one against the other. Now one will fall; but, as against the outer world, he remains 'one of us' to the others. He is still bidden to think in millions; and ten to one, if he lives, he will be restored." (Richelieu, p. 160.)

All those who desire a copy of this 364-page book, may obtain same by writing direct to the SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., INC. Limited number of copies available at $2.50.

Father Coughlin

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Rev. Chas. E. Coughlin, LL.D.

EDITORIAL COUNSEL

(By Permission of His Superior)

Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.
Just living annual wage.
Nationalization of important public resources.
Private ownership of all other property.
Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a government-owned Central Bank.
Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.
Cost of living maintained on an even keel.
Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.
Labor's right to organize.
Recall of non-productive bonds.
Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.
Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.
Simplification of government and lower taxes.
Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.
Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with government's chief concern for the poor.

The Detroit Free Press Has A Monday Morning Reaction

IN the Monday morning edition of the *Detroit Free Press* (December 5th) appears the headline: "Bennett Denies Trick Charge by Coughlin."

The news article continues to say:

"In his weekly attack on the Jews, Father Charles E. Coughlin Sunday afternoon directly assailed the integrity of Rabbi Leo M. Franklin, of Temple Beth El. He claimed that Dr. Franklin had obtained an interview with Henry Ford and then had written a statement himself and purported that it was a statement made by Mr. Ford. It was the now famous Ford statement of protest against the Jewish persecutions in Germany.

"The Royal Oak priest then charged that *The Detroit Free Press* had taken this statement and had used it as 'an interview' with Mr. Ford. Coughlin claimed that it was 'a most gigantic attempt to put into the mouth of America's foremost manufacturer words he did not say'."

Here are the facts.

FATHER COUGHLIN HAS IN HIS POSSESSION A SIGNED STATEMENT BY AN EXECUTIVE OF THE FORD MOTOR CORPORATION CONTAINING EVERY WORD HE SAID IN QUOTATIONS. RELATIVE TO THE FORD STATEMENT. OVER THE AIR ON SUNDAY AFTERNOON DECEMBER 4, 1938.

That point is a stumbling block to *The Free Press* and to every other antagonist.

That signed statement rests securely in the SOCIAL JUSTICE vault. It is reproduced in full on page 9 of this edition of SOCIAL JUSTICE.

Recognizing that some newspapers would deny the signed statement, no interview was given all week long to any newspaper on this matter.

Here are additional facts of the case:

1. Rabbi Franklin visited Mr. Ford to discuss the Ford organization's hiring Jewish refugees whom the Rabbi expected to be expelled from Germany.

2. After the entire conversation had ended, Rabbi Franklin sat down at the typewriter and wrote out his concept of Mr. Ford's statements.

3. Rabbi Franklin wrote this article in the third person and not in the first person singular, as if Mr. Ford were being directly quoted.

4. After leaving the Ford offices, Rabbi Franklin wrote a second article, thinking that it was an improvement on his first composition.

5. Neither the first nor the second article written by Rabbi Franklin contained all the things that Mr. Ford actually said.

6. Then the *Free Press* article came into existence. When Rabbi Franklin handed his improved article to *The Detroit Free Press* some smart thinker got the idea that here was a chance to beat the news of the whole world by printing the article into a first person singular, direct quotation article as if it were written by Mr. Ford or dictated by Mr. Ford.

Mr. Ford dictated nothing!

Mr. Ford wrote nothing!

Therefore, the signed statement handed to SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CORPORATION by an authorized executive of the Ford Motor Corporation still stands 100 per cent.

The *Detroit Free Press*, whence originated this purported interview with Mr. Henry Ford, cannot uphold the integrity of its past performances unless it admits honestly that it took a third person composition of Rabbi Franklin and re-wrote it and turned it into a first person purported interview by Mr. Henry Ford.

Any responsible editorial office would never accept a direct quotation interview from a man so important as Mr. Ford, handed to them by any third party, without checking and double-checking it for authenticity.

As usual, *The Detroit Free Press* tries to defend its position by saying that Father Coughlin is a liar in words which reads as follows:

"It is obvious that Father Coughlin either does not know what the word interview means, or is giving still further evidence of his *congenital inability to tell the truth.*"

Also in keeping with the wish-thinking habits of *Free Press* editors is the reference to Father Coughlin's broadcast as a "weekly attack on the Jews." Editors of such short-sightedness could look through a keyhole with both eyes and have heads merely for the sake of conformity. Scarcely a paragraph can be found in the discourses which does not emphasize Father Coughlin's differentiation between atheistic, power-seeking Jews and the Jewish people as a whole.

The "Secret Service"

MENTION of the "American secret service" in connection with disclosures of the *"British White Paper"* of 1919 has flooded the editorial offices of SOCIAL JUSTICE with letters and telegrams, which range from courteously co-operative correction to unintelligible outpourings of vilification and abuse. Quite obviously, the confusion grows out of the *White Paper's* reference to the American "secret service," and that official designation for an activity of the United States Treasury Department.

Fiction and romancers have done much to confuse the terms. Like England's "Scotland Yard," the term "secret service" has been employed in this country to cover almost every sort of sleuthing assignment from the President's bodyguard to plain clothes policemen and private detective agencies. The "secret service" is the "policing branch" of the Treasury—they run down counterfeiters, seek to enforce the revenue laws against hill-billy moonshiners and furnished the ax squads of prohibition days.

In addition, there are "secret investigation activities" conducted by the Army Intelligence, Navy Intelligence, the Federal Bureau of Investigation of the Department of Justice (who are J. Edgar Hoover's popular G-men), and the "investigators that nobody knows about" who work for the diplomatic service. Every country calls these agents of every other country "spies."

During a war the facilities of all these departments are correlated under central control for the more efficient prosecution of the war and their combined activity is generally referred to abroad as the "American secret service." This, very likely, will be found to be the common sense explanation of the *British White Paper's* employment of the term.

But the important fact that is too likely to be lost sight of while we quibble over names is that tremendously important disclosures included in the original *White Paper were deleted from this document when it was published for library purposes.* This is the all-important revelation brought out by Father Denis Fahey's book and quoted over the radio by Father Coughlin.

"Father Coughlin and His Broadcast"

An Editorial in "The Brooklyn Tablet"

SHORTLY after Father Coughlin completed his radio address on Sunday afternoon, Station WMCA broadcast the statement that he had "unfortunately uttered certain mistakes of fact." This is said to be the first occasion on which a radio station has taken issue with a speaker on a commercial broadcast beyond its usual disclaimer of responsibility. *The New York Times* calls it a "significant development in the history of radio," but does not explain how it is "significant" or what it signifies.

With the address of Father Coughlin and the correctness of his facts there need be no great concern. Father Coughlin is quite capable of taking care of himself. There is no significance in anyone checking up on the Radio Priest's statements. That has been done before, oftentimes to the checker's regret. Even conceding certain "errors of fact" simply to put the question aside—it cannot be denied that for bold and fearless honesty, directness of language and sincerity of purpose, the address of Father Coughlin is without parallel. He said openly what millions are saying in their ordinary conversations at home and on the streets.

The significance of the statement broadcast from WMCA is the absolute lack of restraint, the unwillingness to attend to honest opinion when it is disagreeable or unfavorable which characterizes much of the Jewish attitude towards their own affairs. Nothing could so convince a public ready to believe that the Jew is interested only in his own racial problems, that he is ready to ring bells and blow whistles, to shout and to yell whenever a Jew is hurt in any part of the world and, at the same time to block his ears and his mind and to cry "Anti-Semitism" against anyone, no matter how honest or sincere, who dares to counsel calmness, restraint and patience, as the unprecedented action of WMCA, which was so strongly influenced by them.

The feeling is abroad that in the present crisis in Germany, the Jews in America have overreached themselves. They have corralled everyone from the President down to plead their case. Yet they have shown no similar willingness to create public sympathy for the persecuted in Russia, in Loyalist Spain, in Mexico, in Northern Ireland where the persecution is a thousand times more bloody, more vicious and more extensive than it is in Germany. They have shown no corresponding excitement over the fate of Protestant and Catholic victims of Nazi persecution. In fact the very Jews who are shouting the loudest against Nazi Germany—Rabbi Wise for example—are the very same ones who have supported the murderous persecutors of Catholics in "Loyalist" Spain. Many prominent Jews have refused to utter a word on behalf of persecuted Christians in Russia, in Mexico, in Northern Ireland and have been involved in organizations and activities in aid of these persecutions. WMCA itself has not had a broadcaster ready to check "mistakes of fact" when speakers over its facilities pleaded for help for "Loyalist" Spain and other like causes.

This was the whole point of Father Coughlin's address. That it went home and that it carried a weighty truth is proven better by the action of WMCA than by any word of Father Coughlin's. It is time that honest, sincere and patriotic Jews take leadership away from those whose prejudice and racial bias blind and deafen them to honest American opinion. It is time that the Jewish people express themselves in a real American way by opposing everything that is un-American whether it comes out of Germany, Russia, Spain or Mexico. If they expect Americans to plead for the human rights of their race in Germany, they must be ready to support, cooperate with and uphold the American plea for the human rights of other persecuted peoples wherever or whoever they may be.

The Week in the Nation

FORD

Journalism's "Strange Interlude"

To the Ford administration offices at Dearborn, Mich., last week went Rabbi Leo M. Franklin, of Detroit's Temple Beth El. Purpose of the Rabbi's visit, as outlined by him to Ford officials, was to secure first-hand from Henry Ford assurance that he would co-operate in furnishing jobs for Jewish refugees from Nazi Germany and other countries where Jews reportedly have been oppressed.

In the conversation that followed, according to Ford officials, Rabbi Franklin learned to his dismay that his views on the subject and those of Ford were widely divergent. Far from taking at its front page value the story of Nazi persecution of Jews, Mr. Ford stated his complete belief that, substantially, there was no persecution of Jews in the German nation; that whatever persecution there might be was not the result of German hostility for the Jews as a race, but resulted from the acts of a handful of "international bankers," and "war makers" in control at the top.



HENRY FORD

"IF THERE IS PERSECUTION of Jews in Germany, it is the work of the war-mongers, the international bankers who are in control at the top," says Henry Ford, the world's greatest builder of motor cars. Ford's position on the matter of Jewish refugees, Nazi persecution, and national prosperity was badly garbled in the "strange interlude"' interview, the true story of which appears on this page.

Ford Belief

Further, Mr. Ford stated that it would be impractical to consider that refugee Jews, from Germany and elsewhere, would be contented to work in automobile factories, or in other industries. Ford officials told representatives of SOCIAL JUSTICE. The "special gifts" of Jews, in finance and as commercial middlemen would result in their dissatisfaction with laboring work, and would cause them to leave the factories employing them," Mr. Ford said.

To lend point to this assertion, Mr. Ford told Rabbi Franklin, Ford authorities said, of his experience with Jewish laboring men in the past. These men, Mr. Ford said, utilized their factory employment solely as a stepping stone to occupations for which they have an inherent aptitude. Thus, Mr. Ford told Franklin, there was always a "high turnover" among Jewish workers.

Long tagged as a "Fascist" and a "Nazi sympathizer" by the left-wing and Communist press, Mr. Ford revealed that much of this hostility resulted from his acceptance of a medal from the German nation on the occasion of his 75th birthday last summer. This medal, the Grand Cross of the Supreme Order of the German Eagle, is the greatest honor the German nation confers on foreigners. Ford was the first American citizen to receive this medal. The citation accompanying the award felicitated Ford on the occasion of his birthday and made mention of the esteem in which he is held as a pioneer builder of automobiles for every class.

Ford reportedly told Franklin that he would take no steps to correct the impression created by the left wing press in branding him as a Nazi sympathizer. He said he would rely on the judgment of the substantial people of America who had been familiar with him and his enterprises through his long industrial history in this country.

Throughout the "interview," Mr. Ford emphasized to the Rabbi that they viewed the alleged persecution of Jews in Germany from different angles. Mr. Ford repeated his conviction that the alleged persecutions were overplayed in the press, and cited a first hand report given him by a member of the Ford organization in Germany. He maintained that if any persecution exists, it was caused not by the German people, but by the international financiers, the international bankers whose field of operations is the world at large, and not any single nation. Thus he absolved the people of Germany from responsibility in the persecutions, real or imaginary.

Mr. Ford long has predicted an era of unprecedented prosperity in the United States—a future era when representative Democracy once again is permitted to function as its founders intended. Questioned by the Rabbi as to the part Jewish refugees would play in a nation enjoying such prosperity, and with such a plentitude of jobs for all, Mr. Ford reportedly agreed that the entrance of a "few hundred thousand Jews and other immigrants" would not be a "drop in the national bucket." Further, Mr. Ford stated that the Jewish race, having no nation of its own, was scattered throughout every nation on the globe. There must be Jews in every country, officials said Ford told Franklin, and the United States must assume responsibility for its just share.

Not For Publication

Ford officials said that Rabbi Franklin's "interview" was not intended for general publication. They said the Rabbi represented that conclusions from the conference would be used to explain the Ford position to the Rabbi's *"own people."*

In concluding his conversations, Rabbi Franklin reportedly wrote a statement containing what he thought to be the substance of the conversations. This statement was written in the third person, and spoke of Mr. Ford as an individual. It did not quote Mr. Ford directly on any point.

After two days had elapsed, Ford officials said they were surprised to find the subject matter of the interview presented with a smashing front page display in the *Detroit Free Press*, a morning newspaper. The "banner" headline across the top of the page shouted that: "Ford Scores Nazi Terror." The headline statement assumes ridiculous proportions when it is remembered that primarily, Mr. Ford expressed his doubts of any persecution in Germany and blamed whatever disorders there are on the "war makers."

Below the banner headline appeared a subhead that stated: *"Refugee German Jews Welcomed to America as Benefit to Country."* Then there followed, *as direct quotations from Mr Ford* an "improved" version of the statement written by Rabbi Franklin in the Ford offices. The story made no mention of the fact that the statement was written by Rabbi Franklin. It was represented as having come from the motor car manufacturer himself

Covert Purpose?

Most important of all, the newspaper story in the *Detroit Free Press* contained a veiled suggestion that Ford Motor Co. holdings in Germany might be seized by the Nazi government as a result of "the Ford anti-Nazi statement" on the matter of persecution in Germany. This section of the story, upon careful analysis, was interpreted by students of the German system as being a direct challenge to the German government to act upon the suggestion given, that is to seize the factories.

This section of the Detroit Free Press story follows:

> "What effect the Ford anti-Nazi statement will have upon Ford Motor Co. interests in Germany could not of course be ascertained Wednesday night. A list of Ford Motor Co. branches issued last March showed an assembly plant at Cologne but made no mention of any other holdings the company might have in Germany.
>
> "Students of the German system were not slow to point out that if Der Fuehrer chose to take umbrage at the Ford statement he as dictator of a totalitarian state might choose to expropriate the Ford holdings—a polite term for simple seizure."

The above paragraphs assume a sinister character when it is recalled that the "Ford statement" was written, not by Ford, but by Rabbi Franklin; was issued, not by Ford, but by the same Franklin. Possibly covert purpose of the paragraphs, could not of course be ascertained completely.

Harmful effect of the story as printed in the Detroit Free Press, and sent out nationwide by news services, was made known by Ford officials. "We received hundreds of telegrams from everywhere protesting the misrepresentation of Mr. Ford's position on the question," one Ford spokesman said.

The Ford Statement

SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine, distrustful of the purported interview carried in the "Detroit Free Press" of December 1st relative to Nazi persecution, sent its investigators to ascertain the facts of the case.

Mr. Harry Bennett, who arranged the meeting between Rabbi Franklin and Mr. Ford and who was present at the meeting, permits us to say the following:

1. The direct quotation carried in the paper is totally inaccurate and was not written by Mr. Ford but was composed by Rabbi Franklin.

2. Rabbi Franklin came to see Mr. Ford to ask him if his factory would assimilate Jewish refugees, the result of Nazi persecution. Mr. Ford said that he believed there was little or no persecution in Germany; if any, it was due not to the German Government, but to the war mongers, the international bankers.

Moreover, while Mr. Ford expressed his humanitarianism for all people, yet he believed that Jews wouldn't be content to work in the factories.

That was the essence of the talk between Mr. Ford and Rabbi Franklin. But the story handed to the Free Press was written by Franklin and handed to it by Franklin and not by Mr. Ford.

Initialed:
C.E.C.
H.H.B.
Dec. 2, 1938.

The Week in Washington

MARTIN DIES DENIED THE AIR

MARTIN DIES, congressional investigator of subversive movements and legally appointed as chairman of the committee by the Congress of the United States, asked six different radio stations in New York City to allot him time to speak to the American public.

The six radio stations turned him down, refusing the chairman of a congressional committee the opportunity to address the people whom he represents.

How far will this censorship go? It has made itself manifest in the case of Father Coughlin.

More glaringly, it has made itself manifest in the case of the United States Congress; for by refusing Martin Dies time on the air, this is tantamount to refusing the United States Congress.

Radio executives are squarely in the middle.

No one has defended the independence of radio more than has Father Coughlin.

But even he dare not defend the owners of radio stations and corporations in this Stalinesque and Hitleresque manifestation of dictatorship. It is a sad day for the United States of America when Communism is regarded as a controversial subject and when the facilities of broadcasting are denied to people who fight Communism.

Very soon it will become a felony to send anti-Communist literature through the mail.

"It can't happen here" has been said by a lot of nit-wits in the United States.

De facto, it is happening here; it has happened here; and it will continue to happen here.

The controlled press came first. Now comes the controlled radio. Must there be a controlled government to permit such things?

For the information of our readers, we quote *The New York Herald Tribune* of Sunday, December 4th to let them know the radio stations in New York City which employed the hatchet on free speech in the case of Martin Dies:

> "C. M. Penfield, executive secretary, later said that the stations were WABC, WEAF, WJZ, WOR, WMCA and WHN."

And for their further information we maintain that all these radio stations are controlled by Jewish interests

It is regrettable that the innocent Jews in America must suffer for the sins and irregularities of these short-sighted Jews who have risen to such prominence.

Once before, however, the leaders of the Jews showed even more short-sightedness than is evident today. The poor Jewish people in Palestine loved Christ. Because He campaigned for the poor Jews; because He fed them and healed their sick; because, particularly, He taught them the truth; because He drove the money changers from the temple. Because he uttered condemnation against their leaders, the Pharisees and the high-priests, these leaders crucified Him.

That was the greatest "bone-head" in all history, if we may use that word reverently. It was the nadir of stupidity. It was the ultimate of false leadership.

Ever since the crucifixion the poor Jews have been paying the penalty for this most stupid, criminal, dictatorial act.

It is time for the poor humble Jews of America to recognize the stupidity of their leaders.

LABOR

Martin Tells Story Of Reds

PROOF that the "peace" reached in last summer's struggle between the Progressive and Unity factions of the United Automobile Workers Union was an unjust and unwise compromise, was forthcoming this week in testimony delivered by U.A.W. President, Homer Martin.

Taking the stand before the House Committee on un-American Activities in Washington, Martin confirmed statements he had made before two gatherings of priests at the Shrine of the Little Flower in Royal Oak, Mich. Martin's addresses were made at the time the U.A.W. executive board held trial for four high officials of the union, and found them guilty of a Communist conspiracy to destroy the union.

To save the U.A.W. for the C.I.O., John L. Lewis, then C.I.O. chairman, dispatched two trouble shooters, Phil Murray and Sidney Hillman to Detroit to work out a compromise between the Communist group, and those who opposed them under Martin's leadership. Result of these peace negotiations was that Communists and Communist aides were restored to positions of authority, and the status quo of union affairs was "frozen" until the next general U.A.W. convention, in August, 1939.

At the time the "peace" was made, it was pointed out that there could be no peace while those seeking American unionism and those advocating Communist destruction were blanketed in one organization.

Martin Hears

Despite this, however, Martin resumed his position as head of the union, and accepted the peace dictated in the office of John Lewis. On the stand before the House Committee in Washington last week, however, Martin was forced to admit reluctantly that the 345 unauthorized "wildcat" strikes in which the union was involved were the work of Communists in the union; that these Communists and their fellow travelers still remained to block the union's path to progress.

The testimony was particularly damaging to the cause of the reorganized C.I.O., now the Congress of Industrial Organizations. Should the U.A.W. be weakened by defection from its ranks, or should it withdraw from the C.I.O. in seeking to cleanse itself of Communist leadership, the numerical strength of the C.I.O. would be shortened considerably.

Withdrawal of the U.A.W. from the C.I.O. would make it the second large union to take this step. The Ladies' Garment Workers' Union, headed by David Dubinsky, withdrew on the eve of the C.I.O.'s constitutional convention.

Another Quarter

Meanwhile another threat to the U.A.W. appeared from still another quarter. Ralph Knox, former U.A.W. official, has announced the formation of the Automobile, Aircraft, Automotive and Allied Employes Union, which aims at affiliation with the American Federation of Labor, which chartered the U.A.W. originally.

Knox, now organizational director of the new union, announced that an A.F.L. charter would be obtained when 20,000 members were secured. Knox, foe of Communism in the U.A.W., was removed from office with that union in one of the organization's many factional squabbles. His announcement of A.F.L. intervention came as a surprise to union observers in the automobile area.

It has been predicted freely that Homer Martin would lead his faction out of the C.I.O., and into the A.F.L., leaving behind the dissenters who favored retention of known Communist officials, and those supporting them. Those close to the scene pointed out that dual unionism in automobile manufacturing would be an unwise step on any basis other than the issue of Communism versus Americanism in labor.

HIGHLIGHTS OF THE BROADCAST

To remove all persecution from the world—persecution both of Jew and Christian—it is necessary first to consolidate the fair-minded support of all fair-minded citizens—Jew and gentile.

* * *

The Alexander Kerensky abortive revolution was a failure, possibly because it was not managed by the revolutionary atheistic Jews, although it was financed by Mr. Jacob Schiff, the senior partner of the Kuhn, Loeb and Company.

* * *

I believe that history will support me when I state that Leon Trotsky has come to court with most unclean hands. He is the crystallization of Nero, Diocletian, Julian the Apostate, Ivan the Terrible, Cromwell and Napoleon Bonaparte—the outstanding mass murderer of time and eternity.

* * *

From the sunset which marked the passing of the glories that characterized the 13th century down through the welter of wars which besmirched the pages of each succeeding age, the shadow of the international banker hovered over every battlefield, cast gloom over every home and fastened the burden of debt upon every innocent babe.

* * *

Last week I telephoned to Dr. Denis Fahey at Blackrock Seminary, Dublin, Ireland asking him to re-inspect the original British "White Paper" from which I quoted. He assures me that an original copy is still available, safely guarded, but at my disposal.

* * *

Document No. 57 of the Sisson Report is a circular issued on November 2nd, 1914. Among other things, it says:

"Zinovieff and Lunacharsky (two Russian revolutionists who rose to prominence in the Bolshevik days—ed. note) got in touch with Imperial Bank of Germany through the bankers, D. Rubenstein, Max Warburg, through whom he found support in Parvus." Here then, the international bankers, among them a Warburg of the same family of Warburgs, associated with the Kuhn, Loeb Bank, is one of the internationalists aiding and abetting revolution.

* * *

In quoting the Sisson Report, which deals with the German-Bolshevik conspiracy, we read in the introduction that the "Committee of Public Information publishes herewith a series of communications between the Bolsheviks themselves.

"The documents show that the present heads of the Bolshevik Government (1918)—Lenin and Trotsky and their associates—are German agents.

"They show that the Bolshevik Revolution was arranged for by the German Great General Staff, and financed by the German Imperial Bank and other German financial institutions." However, it is perplexing to find that these so-called German Bankers who dominated the Government and its officials were oftentimes Jewish International Bankers. Germany was their residence, but the world was their home.

* * *

Thanks be to God that the majority of Jews—poor, humble persons like the majority of Christians—played no part in this. They, as were we, were the pawns upon the checkerboard of death and persecution. These I invited to stand with us in our battle against Communism and Nazism.

* * *

May I reiterate what I emphasized last Sunday. There is no anti-Semitic question in America. There is an anti-Communist question here, and there will continue to be an anti-Communist question, veil it how you will, until we conquer it, or it conquers us.

A THOUGHT FOR CHRISTMAS

Father Coughlin has brought into the open a question which has for decades gnawed at the vitals of America. Not out of race or religious antagonism has he done this. Not out of a desire for personal place or power has he risked the anger of a controlled press and radio. Not because of acquisitiveness for money has he braved the wrath of those who seek to control America.

HE HAS DONE IT TO SAVE THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FROM COMMUNISM!

Father Coughlin is giving to this great cause every ounce of his physical and mental strength. No great organization stands behind him with its money. HE GETS NO HELP FROM MOSCOW OR ANY FOREIGN GOVERNMENT.

He is doing it with the help of his thousands of friends in every State of the Union.

WITHOUT THE AID OF THOUSANDS OF NEW LISTENERS AND READERS, FATHER COUGHLIN CANNOT CONTINUE.

Keeping him on the air is YOUR JOB – If you BELIEVE in the future of America.

There are two ways you can help to do this and also bring his message to friends who are outside the sphere of his radio broadcasts.

FIRST

Send in for Father Coughlin's broadcasts in pamphlet form for your friends in distant states. Just send the names and addresses of those you wish to read the discourses and they will be sent out at once. At the same time write to those friends and ask them to subscribe to SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine.

SECOND

Give your friends a Christmas present of annual or half-yearly subscriptions to SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine.

Is there anything more welcome as a Yuletide gift than the ONLY publication in America which tells the FULL TRUTH?

For $3.00 SOCIAL JUSTICE will go to the home of your friend for 52 weeks. For $1.50 it will be delivered by mail for 26 weeks.

If there are to be future Christmases in our country, Communism must be stopped NOW.

If the spirit of Christ is to reign freely over our wondrous land, the serpent of Moscow Communism must not only be scotched but wiped from the face of the United States for all time.

HELP TO DO THIS–AT CHRISTMAS OF 1938.

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., INC.

Royal Oak, Michigan.



THE SPORT OF THE NATION

- POLITICAL FOOTBALL
- LOG ROLLING
- GOAT FISHING
- HOG CALLING
- BUBBLE-BLOWING
- HIDE AND SEEK
- SHIRT-STUFFING
- DUMMY WRESTLING



McGuffey Weighs Free Speech, Press

Dips Into Application Of 'Rights'

In the manner of the *McGuffey Reader*, we approach the problem of free press and free speech.

Q. What is a "free press"?

A It is all things to all publishers.

Q. What is it, for instance to Colonel McCormick, of the *Chicago Tribune*?

A. Used by himself, he considers it his "meat." Used by anyone else, he considers it his "poison."

Q. What is the *Chicago Tribune*?

A The "World's Greatest Newspaper"

Q Who says so?

A *The Chicago Tribune.*

Q. What is 'free speech?"

A. Likewise, it is all sorts of things to all sorts of men.

Q. What is it to Colonel McCormick?

A It is a squabble point to be screeched about, until it is forbidden to someone else. Then it is to be forgotten, or brushed aside. But if himself is involved, it is to be screeched about incessantly

Q. Are there any other little men who look at the world through the same smoked glasses Colonel McCormick uses?

A. Yes, Malcolm Bingay of the *Detroit Free Press*

Q. What is the *Detroit Free Press*?

A. No one seems able to figure that one out.

Q Who is *Malcolm Bingay*?

A. He is editorial director, columnist, baseball reporter and buffoon of the *Detroit Free Press.*

Q. Is he loud in his defense of free speech and free press?

A. Well, he's loud anyway.

Q. Is he a figure of national prominence? Has he any claim to fame? Has he ever done anything?

A. Apparently he once read a book on Grecian mythology, but he is no more prominent nationally than an A & P grocery bill

Q. Are Colonel McCormick and Mister Bingay professional men?

A. Decidedly so. They are professional patriots, professional Republicans, professional crowd-pleasers, and professional handshakers.

Q. Is this a commendable policy?

A. Yes and no. From an advertising standpoint, yes. From a moral standpoint, no.

Q. Well then, would you say these men are lacking in the moral stamina that makes a newspaper man great?

A. I wouldn't say so, but I'd like awfully much to imply it rather strongly.

Q. To get to the bottom of this discussion, suppose we leave the determination of free speech and a free press to these two men. What happens?

A. There then becomes only two speakers—Mister Bingay and Colonel McCormick. And there becomes only two newspapers—*The Chicago Tribune*, and the *Detroit Free Press.* Existence of any other speakers, or any other papers might endanger their "inherent right" to speak and write as they please.

FARMERS SLEEP WHILE WALLACE SPEAKS

NO HUMAN DYNAMO is Agriculture Secretary Henry Wallace, who addressed the Macon, Georgia, agricultural audience pictured here with the following results, from left to right: the first man sleeps, the second day-dreams, the third drowses and nods, the fourth sighs, the fifth can't be seen, the sixth apparently pays attention, the seventh sleeps, the eighth sleeps, and so on and on. Second row, same results (Acme)

OF MUSE & MEN

Backfired Prediction Department

Charlie Michelson, press agent for the New Deal, had the following to say concerning the Dies committee, the elections, and the hiring of newspaperman Paul Y. Anderson to smear Dies and his un-American activities committee. It appeared the day before election:

"I assure the Congressman (Martin Dies) that I was the villain and the sole villain in getting Paul Anderson on the air. . . The incident is not of grave importance. In all probability, Governor Murphy will be re-elected by at least as large a majority as he would have had had not the charge of Communism been dragged in

"Wednesday morning we will arise, look at the newspapers, and learn that the Senate and House of Representatives are still more than two-thirds Democratic. . . . The Dies committee will get down to serious business, and Chairman John Hamilton, of the Republican National Committee, will be telling that the election of a Republican Congressman somewhere means the eclipse of the New Deal and forecasts the election of a G.O.P. president in 1940."

Charlie will have to eat his words. Murphy was defeated. The Republicans won important elections in New York. Ohio, Pennsylvania, Michigan and several other states; the New Deal was driven to cover on a variety of fronts, and, strangely, all political forecasters are united in believing that 1940 will see a Republican President unless the New Deal takes severe steps to save itself

Charlie's pre-election flippancy rings rather hollow now, doesn't it?

Wage Law

The national wage-hour law and its effect on management and labor has been a topic of discussion for nearly a fortnight. Until last week nothing concrete was known of its direct effect on the laborer. Administrator Elmer F. Andrews, however, delivered a report to President Roosevelt showing from 30,000 to 50,000 workers had been discharged as a result of the law's application.

Majority of these workers were from the South, where wretchedly low wages have been a plague for decades. Others were from the industrial North in enterprises where selfish employers balked at paying the 25-cent hourly minimum wage.

The layoffs indicated the low state of labor throughout different sections of the country and emphasized the increasing need for a living, annual wage.

Remember Forgotten Kerensky?

'Premier' Shouts 'Me Too' As Revolt Is Reviewed

CHICAGO, ILL.—Here's a toast to Alexander Kerensky, the revolutionist the world forgot!

To correct an oversight, we wish hereby to go on record as crediting Mr. Kerensky with one (1) revolution, fought in Russia in an unsuccessful attempt to overthrow the Czar, in the year 1917, beginning in March, and ending in October of the same year

Mr. Kerensky is a "me too" guy. As the nation's press rolled forth with story after story of the Communist revolution, its financial backing and the intrigue that went into its composition, Mr. Kerensky fumed and sputtered here in Chicago.

The 'Saveyore'

"Ach, I, Alexander Kerensky, the leader of the Socialist rewolt, is forgot. I whom the a-Roushin peopole looked to as dere saveyore iss not even mentioned. I, Kerensky, iss committed to the grave by an ungrrateful peopole. Whaat woult cawsin Ikaro Petrakarovitch say about that!"

Resolved to action, Mr. Kerensky hurried to the newspapers with his story:

"Let an ungrrateful peopole rremember," he said, "tatt I too was a rewolutionary. Let them call to mind that in the year 1917, in the month of Marrch, I, Kerensky, the premier of the a-Roushin peopole, led a rewolution against the Tsar!"

And thereupon Mr. Kerensky proceeded to climb upon the front page with a denial of everything that had been said by anyone else. He denied the sources of Bolshevist financial backing. He denied the character of the "a-Roushin peopole" in regard to their treatment of minorities. He denied the financial backing of his own "rewolution," and credited the United States Government with the entire deal

'60-40' Speaker

What the United States Government thinks about that is none of our business. Our sole concern is with Mr. Kerensky, the "rewolutionary" who wishes to be remembered. For it must be remembered also that Mr. Kerensky is now a "60-40" lecturer—60 per cent of the "take" to Kerensky, 40 per cent to the booking agency—and every dollar in the till is 60 cents to Kerensky, the former "a-Roushin" premier.

The Week in the News

PRESS

"TWENTY RADIO STATIONS BAR COUGHLIN" shrieked the wish-thinking *Chicago Herald Examiner*, a Hearst paper, last Monday.

As usual, the facts were that only three radio stations—WMCA, New York; WJJD, Chicago and WIND, Gary, Indiana (all Jewish owned) had failed to carry the broadcast against persecutions. This just about measures the degree of credence that readers are putting in information conveyed by the Hearst newspapers.

SCORE: "Smearers" 20; Facts 3.

• • •

Reasons for Action Follow the Action

RADIO stations WJJD and WIND had advertised in the Chicago papers that the broadcast would be carried and then, without notice to Father Coughlin or the public, did not carry the broadcast.

The explanation given to the public by station WJJD on Monday to account for its action COULD NOT POSSIBLY HAVE BEEN KNOWN TO THE STATION on Sunday afternoon when its decision to cancel the broadcast was taken.

A Mr. H. P. Sherman, spokesman for the two stations in the Chicago area, stated that "for the most part the program consisted of a re-broadcast by electrical transcription of the previous week's speech and *it was felt that such a broadcast would be unnecessary* repetition and might tend to rekindle the nation-wide controversy."

That was an excellent reason, perhaps, to give out on Monday. WHAT WAS THE REASON AT THE TIME OF THE DECISION ON SUNDAY AFTERNOON?

• • •

WMCA Cites Fear of 'Hatchet Man'

IN a further explanation of its cancelling the Coughlin broadcasts, station WMCA, of New York, gave the following statement to the *New York Times*:

"Radio station WMCA, like every other radio station in the United States, is licensed by the Federal Communications Commission and is required, as a condition of continuing to hold its license, to operate in the public interest.

"We do not believe that it is in the public interest to broadcast material *which will stir up religious or racial strife and dissension in America.* This policy is not unique on our part, nor has it been suddenly adopted. It is the policy of all nation-wide networks and of most individual stations . . .

"Father Coughlin failed to submit his script to us, although we repeatedly urged it upon him and gave him until noon today to do so. And by such refusal on his part, he has made it impossible for us to live up to our inescapable responsibility under the terms of our license from the United States Government.

"In reaching this decision *we have paid particular heed to a speech recently made by the Hon. Frank R. McNinch,* chairman of the Federal Communications Commission, in which Mr. McNinch said:

" 'Should there ever be an attempt here by anyone to so debase radio as *to use it as an instrument of racial or religious persecution,* the Communications Commission would employ every resource it has to prevent any such shocking offense. *President Roosevelt would, of course, support us to the limit in such a stand. . . .*' "

SOCIAL JUSTICE cannot at all agree that Father Coughlin is using the radio "as an instrument of racial or religious persecution," within the meaning of either Messrs. McNinch or Flamm—or Mr. Roosevelt.

There is one further matter about this submission of radio scrip which radio station WMCA does not make public. Father Coughlin DID submit a script of the "controversial" broadcast several days in advance of November 20th. Because of the early date at which this accommodation was extended to the New York station, it obviously had to be a rough draft. The completed and polished discourse delivered over the air the following Sunday naturally would have refinements and corrections.

Following custom—as well as under every consideration of decent commercial ethics—it was to be expected that between Father Coughlin and the heads of station WMCA the contents of his intended talk should be confidential. Instead, the board of censors of the General Jewish Council (every station in New York is Jewish controlled), reporters for the *Communist Daily Worker*, and the political "hatchet men," all appear to have had a "go" at Father Coughlin's talk—whole days before its delivery!

What is still worse, excerpts from this rough first draft (submitted confidentially to station WMCA) were rushed broadcast throughout the land to prominent Jewish leaders in many cities, with instructions to pass out the word and organize protests to the radio stations, scaring them with the whispered threat of boycott by Jewish business men. This tactic followed the pattern set by the *Communist Daily Worker* against WMCA in the New York area. Unfortunately for this secret strategy, the rank and file complied all too eagerly putting in telephone calls and telegrams to their radio stations BEFORE FATHER COUGHLIN WAS EVEN ON THE AIR and their letters of protest against certain remarks in this address were POSTMARKED BEFORE THE SPEECH WAS DELIVERED!

SOCIAL JUSTICE does not know to what extent Father Coughlin's radio address departed—if it did—from the rough first draft confidentially submitted to radio station WMCA, or to what extent Father Coughlin may have altered his talk AT THE SUGGESTION OF THE RADIO STATION, but it is obvious to all who heard the broadcast twice that it did not contain the statements charged by those who should have had no access to Father Coughlin's notes.

Because Father Coughlin did not submit to this indignity the following week—(How was the Jewish General Council to know that he intended to repeat?)—station WMCA cancelled the Coughlin broadcasts. Station WHBI at Newark, New Jersey, will continue to be the outlet for the metropolitan area. The encouragement and support of its advertisers are urged upon readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE.

We Warned That Smears Would Come

READERS will have noticed the sudden retirement from front line attack of responsible Jewish spokesmen during this past week. The strategy of the "smear Coughlin" brigade has been to pass the buck along to the puppet press, either directly in the service of the international bankers or scared of advertising pressure from the Coughlin-haters.

When more redolent smears are emitted the *Detroit Free Press* will find voice for them:

"Characteristic of Coughlin.

"Father Coughlin invariably is so reckless in his statements, when making the radio attacks which are his chief stock in trade, that his own record discounts heavily the accusations he is now making in an effort to tie up Jewish leaders in America and elsewhere with the Communist revolution in Russia.

"In his first broadcast on this subject Father Coughlin made a number of bitter assertions and charges.

"When called upon to back up his accusations with evidence, he simply repeated the substance of his first speech.

"He undertook to 'justify' several columns of mudslinging by adding more mudslinging.

"This is Father Coughlin's usual way. Having launched assertions he never troubles himself to support what he has said with proofs, nor in cases of manifest error, where he has defamed reputable persons, does he ever have the grace to make either apologies or corrections.

"These characteristics and methods make his unsupported statements worthless as information and unworthy of serious consideration by people who want facts and fairness.

"We do not think the Jewish people of the United States have any reason to become agitated or to concern themselves because of anything Father Coughlin has been saying or is likely to say."

Is this the honest editorial expression of the *FREE PRESS* or DID SOMEBODY ORDER THEM TO PRINT IT?

• • •

Not unlikely, the inspiration for its authorship emerged from the same conference which produced the following for Colonel McCormick's *Chicago Tribune*:

"Free Speech on the Radio.

"Father Coughlin continues to be one of the problem children of the radio. There are others, but he is getting the most attention just now. Sensible people are trying to preserve freedom of opinion and expression and intemperate people are, intentionally or unintentionally, creating all sorts of difficulties. The most vulnerable spot is radio because that permits the most direct and effective appeal to the emotions. It is also already subjected to a greater degree of government jurisdictions than any of the other communications, and the members of the Federal Communications Commission, even if they should be disinclined themselves to interfere, are likely to be profoundly influenced by the anger of persons whose sentiments have been offended by some intemperate speaker.

"Coughlin isn't the only windjammer who excites prejudices, offends loyalties, and enrages partisans by speeches which arouse hatreds and anger. He was cut off the air Sunday by the managers of stations who refused to give him their outlets for a speech he did not submit in advance, they being certain that it would be another talk which would raise another storm. The simple way to handle Coughlin would be for the individual listener not to listen to him, but the persons who object to what he says feel that the damage would be done not to them by him, but to the public generally by the effect he had on the people who did listen to him. Self-restraint apparently is unreliable. In many instances it does not govern the highest officials of the government itself. They have used the radio to arouse one class of American citizens against another, creating mythical victims and mythical oppressors.

"The insanities and stupidities, passions, prejudices, and violence that destroy civilization in Europe have sent their waves into this country to disturb the decencies and liberties which must be retained as the governing principles of American life. Americans cannot afford to allow these self-seeking promoters of prejudice to throw American common sense out of gear. One of the worst things they could do would be to bring down on this country the repression with which individual opinion and the freedom of minorities is oppressed in the lands from which the passions emanate.

"We'd like to think that within the laws which govern human conduct people may continue to say what they think and to use any means of communication available to them. If the Government steps in, the treatment of opinion will not be gentle and the hand laid on liberty will not be light. The warring cults and sects in the United States might, with advantage to all, reflect that their passions and quarrels all tend to intolerance, which, if it gets governmental expression, will suppress every minority in the country."

• • •

The warring "cults and sects," Colonel McCormick, to which your editorial writers refer, is the warfare of a militant Christianity against the inroads of atheistic Communism in this land—or didn't you think of it that way?

The Great Champion of free speech (for the McCormick Tribune) is not extending that free speech to fight an alien-spawned atheism just now functioning through Communism. Col. McCormick's radio station WGN is not available for an anti-Communism broadcast.

As Mr. Marcin so aptly points out in his magazine series, all this noise about "anti-Semitism" is a mask—it is not even an issue. It is a tangent, an attempted distraction; it's not even a 'red' herring—but a long dead one—drawn across the trail of the real issue which is atheistic Communism and its threat to Christian civilization.

We will fight without quarter against any foe to a Christian social order in America and the world.

Christianity is not a "controversial" issue in America. If it is, let us have the issues clearly joined.

—EDITOR.

The Week in the World

FRANCE

Premier Daladier Puts Down Strike

PREMIER EDOUARD DALADIER has triumphed over the leftists of France and smashed their attempt to sabotage the economic life of that country by means of a nation-wide general strike.

Today he is the undisputed master of the situation because he not only had the vision to see, but had also the courage to act.

In a nation-wide broadcast, Premier Daladier declared it was simply a "test of strength between the dictatorship of a minority over the proletariat and the democratic Republic of France.

"Let us be clear and frank. These brusk occupations of factories, these partial strikes and this general strike are a brutal attempt at action against the peace policy which the government, anxious as anybody for the honor and dignity of France and also anxious not to spill the blood of Frenchmen for interests which are not those of France, has been carrying out.

"We have entertained no idea of suppressing the forty-hour week or of abrogating the social laws passed by the first People's Front but this is only an absurd pretext by certain labor leaders for calling the strike.

"It is only a question, as certain chiefs of international organizations have warned us by their aggressive discourses, of launching a mass action against the government of the French Republic."

Here the Premier hinted strongly that it was the Communists who were really at the bottom of the strike agitation.

After the scheduled strike proved a "flop" and the long sought revolution fizzled out, Daladier hastened to consolidate his reinforced authority and prestige and sought to prepare measures to punish the false leaders of the abortive one-day general strike.

Cabinet members were called in rapid succession into conference with the fearless Premier (who served in the French army during the war), in an effort to draw up suitable laws to hasten the economic improvement of France.

A temporary decree has been issued calling for a three-year economic mobilization for all of France.

The courage of Daladier in breaking up the plans of the Communists who were trying to hide their nefarious designs behind the cloak of labor marks the beginning of the end of Communists and Commuism in France.

In Mrs. Nesta B. Webster's excellent book, *"The French Revolution,"* she depicts graphically how the people of France were hoodwinked by unscrupulous leaders who were interested in only their own selfish ends. One of the conditions hastening this confusion and manipulation was the lack of suitable communications.

A wild rumor would be purposely started and it would sweep from section to section of the cities, and from village to village in the country. Today the use of radio and the swiftness of other forms of communication are a powerful weapon to keep the people informed of facts, of realities, of truth



Even if the people of Russia starve and the vast Soviet land seethes with fierce resentment against the Communist regime, the soldiers (like the G.P.U.) live well. The whole Communist world centers on the birthday celebration of the Soviet in Red Square, Moscow. This photograph was snapped on the recent 21st anniversary demonstration. (Acme)

Daladier had this in mind when he told his people via radio:

"I am determined to put an end to confusion and terror and to assure resolutely respect for all the laws of the Republic!"

Daladier's success in smashing Communism is a great victory for Christianity and all true French patriots.

MEXICO

Press Explains Communist Aims

AN INTERESTING angle on the "good-neighbor" policy of this government with the present regime of Mexico was afforded by a formal statement, in question and answer form, which *El Universal*, a Mexican newspaper, was requested to publish by the Communist Party in Mexico.

It states:

"1. The immediate aim of the Party is to co-operate with all other revolutionary and democratic organizations in consolidating the action of the proletariat

"2. Its ultimate goal is 'a society without class.

"3. It is an absolutely uniform political party, whereas the C.T.M. (The Confederation of Mexican Workers) seeks members among the working classes whether they be Socialists, Communists, Anarchists, Liberals or Catholics, so long as they 'accept the struggle of the classes.'

"4. The Communist Party in Mexico has an active membership of 24,000.

"5. The Communist Party believes that the anti-Communist campaign in Mexico is not merely anti-Communistic, but anti-democratic and counter-revolutionary as well; that the Mexican press is serving as an instrument for maneuvers that are not only anti-patriotic and counter-revolutionary, but frankly traitorous to Mexico; and that all the activities of the reaction are allied with 'the interest of international fascism and imperialistic capitalism.'"

The statement implies that Vicente Lombardo Toledano's C.T.M. ideologically is a Communist organization awaiting an opportunity to impose the dictatorship of the proletariat.

In answer to the "What is the purpose of the Communist Party in Mexico?" the answer given is:

"The immediate task of the Communist Party in Mexico is to co-operate with all other revolutionary organizations, to consolidate the action of the proletariat and the union of the people, to support the revolutionary regime and to rout its enemies, to strengthen the conquests of the Revolution, and to make possible the uninterrupted development of the Revolution so as to create conditions for the realization of the historic objectives of the proletariat."

Note how the same methods are being employed in Mexico as was done in France, where the Communist Party poses as the friend of labor and uses them as a shield for their revolutionary intentions

GERMANY

Reich Resents British Tutelage

DR. PAUL JOSEPH GOEBBELS seems perturbed at the torrents of abuse that are being heaped on the German government in its present handling of the Jewish problem. Nevertheless, he recently advised a select group of district leaders that the Nazis will "stick to their guns"

According to an Associated Press report, he said:

"The German nation today no longer will put up with interference and a governess-like tutelage," he said of parliamentary criticism in London.

"They say: 'In the last five years you have handled the Jews badly.'

'But Jews still possess 60 per cent of Berlin's real estate. Jews even now own capital of approximately 8-billion marks ($3,2-million). That means the German people, according to their percentage of the population, ought to have 9-billion marks ($360-billion), but the German people possess only 200-billion marks ($80-billion) of national wealth.

"This means, therefore, that the Jews still have managed to keep the four and one-half times more of German wealth after five and a half years of Nazi government.

"One cannot teach certain sections abroad concerning this question, above all not the Jewish public.

"In New York, for example, there are over 2-million Jews. How shall one convince them that Germany is right? One does not have to wonder, therefore, over the reaction from New York. Besides, New York is not America. . . .

"It is not our fault that the Jewish question today is the theme of world discussion. The Jews have brought that on through their own hysteria."

BOLIVIA

Swift Action Nips Red Revolt

AN OFFICIAL proclamation was issued from La Paz, capital of Bolivia, that a state of siege existed following suppression of an attempted Communistic rebellion and the arrest of many conspirators.

The president, German Busch, succeeded President David Toro, in a bloodless coup on July 13, 1937, has strong sympathies with the so-called Fascist group.

The Communist subversives endeavored to bribe their way into the loyalty of the famous "carabineer regiment," but found them immune. When they came to the barracks, the ring-leaders were seized and placed under arrest.

The same group had been endeavoring to stir up strife in the outlying villages for the last few months.

President Busch, who visited the barracks with the Minister of the Interior, praised the officers and men for "their patriotic spirit, loyalty, and discipline."

The president claimed that only by such loyalty could the public order be saved.

CZECHO-SLOVAKIA

Dr. Hacha Heads Czech Republic

DR EMIL HACHA, the favorite for the presidency of Czecho-Slovakia, has been elected to that office, succeeding Eduard Benes, who resigned early in October

Parliament elected Dr. Hacha by a vote of 272 out of a possible 312 votes. He is 66 years old, and former president of the Supreme Court Administration.

His first act was to accept the resignation of Premier General Jan Syrovy, who has been functioning as president in the interim since Benes' resignation.

Beran was bitterly opposed to the policies of ex-President Benes in his co-operation with Russia. There is evidence that he will veer toward German needs and demands, perhaps not from choice, but from force.

The new government, while not fascist, will tend toward the right rather than the left.

Knowledge Must Be the Preparation for Action—and Knowledge Will Reveal Communism as a Lie Against Human Nature

By REV. W. J. RANDALL, C.M.S.



"THE most urgent need of the present day," writes Pope Pius XI, "is the energetic and timely application of remedies which will effectively ward off the catastrophe that daily grows more threatening." ("Divini Redemptoris").

When a Pope says this, it is high time to be up and doing—time to act. But the prerequisite to the application of remedies is knowledge—knowledge that is accurate and complete. Let us therefore make it our present task to study this latest papal document on the social order, the encyclical that relates closely to Pope Leo's "Working Classes" and the present Pontiff's "Social Order" and that brings the consideration of social problems up to date.

En passant it may be remarked that it is a pity that the title attached to the translation into English is "atheistic Communism" – seeing that two-thirds of the *Divini Redemptoris* is positively constructive: Communism itself being dealt with first.

It will be convenient at the outset to have before us a synopsis of the whole encyclical, as follows:

Part I.—The Attitude of the Church towards Communism.

Part II. – Communism in Theory and Practice.

Part III – The Doctrine of the Church in Contrast.

Part IV.—Defensive and Constructive Program.

Part V.—Ministers and Co-Workers in Catholic Social Action.

Here it is our task to study the subject-matter of Parts I and II. By so doing we shall be able to appreciate exactly what a Catholic has to know and understand concerning the mind of the Church on the menace of the thing called "Communism." This is the first need in the qualification of a Christian social worker.

AS far back as the year 1846, Pope Pius IX uttered a solemn condemnation of Communism in his Encyclical "*Qui pluribus*" (Nov. 9, 1846). Later he confirmed this condemnation in the *Syllabus* of 1864, which he directed against "that infamous doctrine of so-called Communism which is absolutely contrary to the natural law itself." In 1878 Pope Leo XIII defined Communism as "the fatal plague which insinuates itself into the very marrow of human society only to bring about its ruin." (Encyclical "*Quod apostolici muneris*," Dec. 28, 1878).

The present Pope has condemned Communism in no less than eight pronouncements—five encyclicals and three allocutions or speeches; from the allocution addressed to the whole world after the papal relief-mission to the Soviet Union in 1924, to the two allocutions of 1936—one at the opening of the International Catholic Press Exhibition and one at the audience to the Spanish refugees.

The Pope points out that "the most persistent enemies of the Church, who from Moscow are directing the struggle against Christian civilization, themselves bear witness, by their unceasing attacks in word and act, that even to this hour the Papacy . . . has called public attention to the perils of Communism more frequently and more effectively than any other public authority on earth."

"Yet despite our frequent and paternal warnings," his Holiness writes, "the peril only grows greater from day to day because of the pressure exerted by clever agitators . . . Hence we wish to expose once more in a brief synthesis the principles of atheistic Communism as they are manifested chiefly in bolshevism . . . to indicate its method of action and to contrast its false principles with the clear doctrine of the Church, in order to inculcate anew and with greater insistence the means by which Christian civilization, the true *civitas humana*, can be saved from the satanic scourge; and not merely saved, but better developed for the well-being of civil society."

IN dealing with the doctrine of Communism, the Pope considers its false ideal, its evolutionary materialism, its treatment of man and the family, Communistic society, and the reason for the spread of Communism. Then follows a consideration of the sad consequences where the theory has been put into practice.

Let us briefly consider each of these points, bearing in mind as we do so that we are studying the words of the Holy Father himself.

False ideal.—Modern Communism poses pre-eminently as the "saviour of the poor." But with "deceptive mysticism" it plays upon the minds of those who are suffering under hardship from unjust economic distribution. Actually, what is termed "economic progress" is due to causes which previously were almost without it, the "exploitation of immense natural resources by inhuman methods," and the "use of the most brutal pressure on the workers to perform very heavy labor at a low wage."

Evolutionary Materialism.—This is the "Dialectical and historical Materialism" advocated by Karl Marx, regarding which the Bolshevic theorists claim an exclusive right of interpretation. It reduces all worldly existence (and hence all human society) to a sort of matter, which is inexorably moving towards a "classless society." No room here for the idea of God, of a spiritual soul, of survival after bodily death, of a future life. On this theory the world-conflict can be accelerated by man to the final goal. (Note the inconsistency here contained in the Communist theory —the introduction of "will," which cannot be merely "matter."). Hence class-struggle and "hate" must be encouraged, and all obstacles to these must be annihilated.

Man and the Family.—The theory of Communism extends into the realm of personal liberty; it "strips man of his liberty, on which the spiritual rules of conduct depend, robs human personality of all its dignity, and removes all the moral restraint that check the eruptions of blind impulse." Human personality, in fact, is a mere "wheel in the machine of the universe." Hence go all divinely-constituted hierarchy and authority, including the authority of parents. The sole authority is that of the "community." There are no rights to property—either in personality or in the means of production.

Marriage is thus reduced to a mere artificial and civil institution – the "outcome of a specific economic system." The care of the home and of the children devolves not upon the parents, not upon the wife and mother, but upon the civil State.

Education is thus removed from parental control or influence; "it is conceived as the exclusive prerogative of the community, in whose name and by whose mandate alone the parents may exercise this right."

Communist Society.—It follows that society – the civil community – possesses one purpose and one mission: namely "the production of material things by means of collective labor." "In the Communistic commonwealth, morality and law would be nothing but a derivation from the existing economic order, purely earthly in origin and unstable in character . . . a new civilization which is the result of blind evolutionary forces culminating in a 'society without God.'"

The final result, as conceived by the Communist theory, is that all men will ultimately acquire the "classless society" mentality; from which mentality will follow the inauguration of that society as the political State.

"It is a system," adds the Holy Father, "full of errors and illusions . . . in opposition both to reason and to divine Revelation. It subverts the social order, because it means the destruction of its foundations; because it ignores the true origin, nature, and purpose of the State; because it denies the rights, dignity, and liberty of human personality."

WHAT can be the explanation of the extension of such a theory – of the grip which it has acquired on men's minds?

"The explanation lies in the fact that too few fully realize the aims and purposes of Communism."

But why is this? Because its skilful propaganda and extravagant promises, its professed desire to better social conditions for the workers, and to remove the abuses that really do exist, have managed to influence even those who on principle reject all forms of materialism and terrorism.

"And as every error," the Pope points out, "contains its element of truth, the partial truths to which we have referred are astutely presented according to the needs of time and place, to conceal, when convenient, the repulsive crudity and inhumanity of Communistic principles and tactics. Thus Communism is able to deceive people of no ordinary worth. These in turn often become apostles of the movement and spread its errors, especially amongst young people, who are easily misled. The preachers of Communism are also proficient in exploiting national antagonisms and political divisions and oppositions. They take advantage of the confusion which enters into the field of studies when the very idea of God is absent, in order to penetrate into the universities, where they support the principles of their doctrine with pseudo-scientific arguments."

This is the general explanation of the rapid diffusion of the Communist theory and activity. Systematic estrangement from Christianity, prepared the way for Communist doctrine, by removing its salutary antidote.

The propaganda followed by the Communist is styled by the Pope as "so truly diabolical that the world has perhaps never witnessed its like before." Directed from one common center (from Moscow, as we have seen), the Communistic ideas have penetrated into every part of the world. Great financial resources, numerous organizations, with numerous trained workers, combine to that end. Use is made of the newspaper press, of pamphlets, of the cinema, the theater and the radio, of schools and even universities. Communism is rife in England, in the northern universities especially, and the London School of Economics has long been infected with it. Thus little by little Communism has penetrated into every class of the community.

While this process has been going on, the non-Catholic press has maintained a silence concerning the horrible behavior of Communists in Russia, Mexico and Spain—a silence which can only be called (as the Pope calls it) "a conspiracy."

"We say conspiracy," writes the Holy Father, "because it is impossible otherwise to explain how a press usually so eager to exploit even the little daily incidents of life, has been able to remain silent for so long about

(Continued on Page 18)



Even the Intellectuals Who Helped to Foster the Revolution Confess Chaos Under Red Communists.

In Franco's Spain

By VIRGINIA McKAY

ON MY return to Seville, I rode all night with two old ladies on a train packed with worn troops returning on a leave of absence from northern trenches. I could not have asked for more courteous traveling companions than these Spaniards, foreign legionnaires and Moors. There was also a contingent of Canary Islanders. Two Civil Guards sitting near me gave me an intelligent synopsis of their country's history.

Observations and talks with the people of reconquered Spain gave me a brief background to understand the present struggle.

The fertile rural half of Spain, now called "Rebel," has been generally and traditionally conservative and, in the last elections of February, 1936, brought in an avalanche of right votes.

The Spanish army – deliberately weakened by the Republican regime which retired its officers, sold warships and did not allow a cent for war budgeting—is now backed by about 80 per cent of the nation's peasantry; Phalangists—containing moderate Socialists, Republicans, and Fascists—Monarchists of two formerly opposed branches, now united; and the middle classes. Its soldiers come from all classes, from princes to peasants.

The leader, General Francisco Franco, twice expelled between 1931 and 1936 by the Republic's politicians, is termed a "Fascist." But, actually, he is not a member of the Fascist Party of Spain. He was opposed to the dictatorship of Primo de Rivera and proclaimed his allegiance to the Republic of 1931. Incidentally, Spanish Fascism organized by the dictator's son, Jose Antonio Primo de Rivera, never amounted to a strong party because of being persecuted by the Republic. A co-leader of the young Primo de Rivera told me that Jose Antonio had to sleep in a different house almost every night because he was being pursued.

IN AN interview with the *World Telegram*, General Franco gives the reason for the revolt of the army, on July 17, 1936. He says:

"The Popular Front government formed immediately after the elections of February 16, 1936, was neither willing nor able to save the country from obeying the wishes of Soviet Russia.

"The results of these elections were falsified to give the majority to the Popular Front and anarchy, injustice and crime let loose by these powers.

"Between February 17 and June 16, 186 churches were totally destroyed and 285 partially demolished, 334 persons were murdered because of their political ideas, 1,517 persons were wounded and . . . 390 political centers were destroyed or attacked . . . 43 newspaper offices were more or less wrecked, and nearly 300 bombs were exploded. . . .

"Events such as these generally mark the end of a regime or the ruin of the nation.

"We are out to save Spain!"

DURING the same interview, General Franco describes the future form of the Spanish government, saying: "The new Spain will progress on the lines of a Totalitarian State, in accordance, at the same time, with the traditions of her history and with modern theories adaptable to her vigorous national characteristics.

"There will be *a state for the people —not the people for the state—free from all tyranny and from destructive forces of Marxism and Communism.* We wish to establish peace and law and order so that it may be possible to develop the country on progressive lines."

Continuing, he says: "The future Spain will banish class hatred and will be founded upon social justice.

"It will have no room for parasites.

"It will be distinguished by rapid improvements in social and economic conditions.

"My supporters are as strongly opposed as myself to all connections with the Grand Orient and with secret societies which in Spain devoted themselves to revolutionary activities and strongly influenced certain political circles.

"In the religious sphere, and as against ceaseless persecutions by Marxists and Communists of Catholic Spain, with her age-old institutions, social justice and Christian charity, we stand for that great comprehensive spirit which permitted mosques and synagogues to remain open under a Christian state.

"The relations between the Church and the government of the future Spain will be defined in due course of a concordat."

It may be that the strongly individualistic Spaniards will want the monarchy to return, as peaceably as it left. The likely candidate, according to newspaper interviews of correspondents I have known, would probably be the popular and democratic Prince of the Asturias, whose wish to fight in the Spanish war was denied him by the people. But, I am sure, the Spanish people will never allow foreign domination. This has been historically shown by their fierce campaigns against the Moors, the Turks and Napoleon.

The strange paradox of the Nationalist campaign was related to me by a Rebel officer. He said that the army, after losing the barracks of Madrid and Barcelona, with only a few soldiers, ancient guns and Moorish muskets, had crossed the Straits of Gibraltar in airplanes. The great parts of the air force and navy and the National Storm Guard force were definitely pro-Loyalist. The gold reserve was in the Bank of Spain in Madrid.

Then, the people rallied behind the movement – Seville, a city of some 50,000, was taken by General Queipo de Llano with about 180 men; (his daughter described it to me in Rome); the army was received by whole populations, delirious with joy. In some cities, the people revolted against the government, and they fell in the army. And the proof of popular support is in the fact that thousands of miles of conquered territory and roads and front lines can be left practically unguarded. Foreign help did come, but later.

Here the war is being paid for by foreign exports and voluntary contributions from home and abroad. And, the country is free from debt, and on its way to greater economic and social prosperity, notwithstanding the war.

Correspondents had told me that cities, supposedly destroyed by the Nationalist army, had been fired by retreating "Loyalist" troops. The houses were found *burned from the inside* with walls and roofs left standing.

Newspaper reporters who gave "eye-witness accounts" of Guernica were actually at other places at the time.

IN A great part geographic position, and conditions have determined why Spain is as she is. The peninsula, isolated from the currents of European thought, has always been strongly nationalist in feeling, in spite of great provincial differences and racial disunities. The land is fabulously rich and the large rural population, tied down to the soil, has been conservative.

Not until the World War did Spain change. As a result of a false prosperity of armament manufacture, the country became industrial and commercially and economically dependent upon outside nations. Foreign political doctrines fed the embryonic labor organizations and they became two powerful labor syndicates, governed by Communism, Socialism and An-



Wide World

archism. Unemployment was never great, but the war of classes began. Certain industrially wealthy and self-sufficient regions where Anarchism was most strongly seated, began to clamor for their autonomy, and independence.

Political parties increased and ramified, cabinets came in and out in rapid succession. Intellectuals went to foreign countries, to study the problems of Spain.

The political history of Spain may be seen briefly as follows: In Burgos a democratic parliament met for the first time in history, in 1169. In the 13th century, a King, Alfonso the Wise, combined the "local rights" (fueros) in a Magna Charta which laid the foundation for the political structure of the future empire. Succeeding monarchs recognized these vested rights until the spirit of the French Revolution brought in influences which led to the abolishing of the principle of autonomy.

In 1868, the government of Queen Isabella II collapsed by its own rottenness. In 1873, the First Republic was proclaimed and its proclamation was followed by a demonstration of anarchy. The next year returned the monarchy under Alfonso XII and the same old politicians came in with it. In that same year the Third International was established by an Italian, named Farinelli.

The new Constitution (one of the 150 that Spain has had) which the politicians of the court of Alfonso XII formed thereafter changed the principle of autonomous rights to one of centralization.

By placing the political and administrative life of the country in the hands of "wire-pullers" from Madrid, the Minister of the Interior could sit in his office and manipulate elections through his local agents—the governors, mayors and "caciques" of local political potentates, like the Tammany plan. Spanish elections became farces.

The "Pact of the Pardo" of these same political bosses became the evils of pooling interests, dividing spoils of office, rotating offices, passing around political patronages and hand-picking the Cortes, and apportioning seats in parliament. The force of Anarcho-Syndicalism was allowed to increase from 24,000 members in 1908 to 600,000 in 1932 and to over a million in 1936.

THE history of religious persecution began in 1737 under a French-trained monarch, the Bourbon king, Philip V, and has been intermittently going on since. A state impoverished by centuries of warfare was the excuse for the spoilation of a wealthy Church.

In 1767, the Jesuits were first expelled. From 1767 to 1837 church property was confiscated and priests and religion killed. There were more suppressions during the "Liberal" regime of 1820 to 1823. In 1812, the Jesuits were again expelled. The cry of 1833 was "Death to the monks!" In 1835, the Society of Jesus was once more dissolved and its property seized —900 religious houses were closed and the whole of the Church's property confiscated. In 1837 the religious orders were suppressed and art treasures of churches sold and the Jesuits once again dissolved. In 1840, the restored property was reconfiscated. By this time little was left to be despoiled.

In the "Tragic Week" of 1909 in Barcelona, 3 churches and religious houses were burned and 150 people, mostly priests and religious, were killed. Under the Republic of 1931, the Jesuits were again expelled, religious orders curtailed, clerical salaries suppressed and the Cardinal-Primates of the country sent out, and the Church repeatedly attacked.

And so we come to the elections of February, 1936, which gave the following results: Popular Front votes, 4,356,000; Right votes, 4,570,000; Center, 340,000. Over 4,000,000 voters abstained from going to the polls. The Popular Front rose to power by means of an electoral procedure as absurd as it was unjust, whereby a relative majority, though it may be an absolute minority, is given an extraordinary preference. Although it had 30,000 fewer votes than the opposition, it, nevertheless, won ten out of thirteen seats, without having anywhere more than 2 per cent more votes than the party which came next.

What five Spanish Liberals themselves say about the Spanish civil war is the most eloquent testimony to the justice of the counter-revolution of the Rebel army.

Niceto Alcalza Zamora, first president and one of the founders of the Spanish Republic, for many years a leader in the political life of the nation, and illegally removed from office by the Popular Front in April, 1936, writes in the *Journal de Geneve*, on March 9, 1937:

"The strategy of the Popular Front revealed itself as double. In the Cortes its boldness was without restraint; in the Cabinet it remained weak, but intriguing.

"The government allowed the mobs, the tools of agitators, to establish a dictatorship of the streets, as well as the government itself. The official press itself declared, in a roundabout way which did not save its face in the least, that it was acting under pressure from the street. The Ministers thought that they were screening themselves behind a Draconian censorship. They even thought they could hide the truth from me. In vain, I reminded the government of its role, of its duty.

"Anxiety was increasing; panic seized the stock exchange. Capital was withdrawn.

"The regime was one of parliamentarism gone mad.

"The extremists of the Popular Front, who ruled the streets, knew well how to get along without the Cabinet. The latter was nothing but a docile tool, the plaything of irresponsible power."

Alejardro Lerroux (leader of the Spanish Radical Party, a former anti-clerical and dominant figure of Spanish Republicanism for fifty years, and Premier of the Second Republic, reveals in *L'Illustration*, January 30, 1937:

"Since the illusory electoral triumph gained in February by the Popular Front, Spain was the scene of the most criminal violence, for which no one was punished. In Madrid, assassinations and arson were common, even in open daylight. Not only did the government fail to exercise the slightest repression, but the most elementary measures of precaution were disregarded.

"The persecution of the Radical Party has been merciless. In the province of Valencia not only have all members of the Radical Deputies been assassinated, but in certain villages all the members of the Radical Party have been exterminated. The bloody contribution of the Spanish Radical Party has far surpassed that of the Church and perhaps that of the Civil Guard, so savagely sacrificed.

"I ask myself if the country and the Republic are well represented, if the nation has been well served by governments which have not succeeded in raising the moral and intellectual condition of the individual, in technically organizing production, in distributing it equitably, in suppressing the division of society into antagonistic classes, in making misery and strikes disappear.

"I ask whether it will not be necessary to destroy the present state in order to renovate it completely. From the examination of conscience to which I have subjected myself, I have emerged comforted with a new conviction: that the dictatorship can be the salvation of the country and the Republic.

"When the army rose in arms, it was already identified with the people, and the people placed themselves deliberately on its side."

GREGORIO Maranon, president of the Spanish Academy of Medicine, famous for his discoveries and studies on the endocrine glands, and a founder of the Spanish Republic, confesses in a Parish interview by Louis Roubaud:

"Yes, I was deceived. I was mistaken. Outside of a few people who favor the Communists, all the intellectuals of Spain speak with me, think as I do, have fled as I did from Loyalist Spain. It was our fault. The revolution was of our own making. We asked for it; we prepared it. The die is cast. The victory of Franco is certain. And it will fulfill all my hopes. In any case, the two regimes cannot be compared. Only one thing matters; that Spain, Europe and the human race rid themselves of a reign of blood, an institution of murder, the advent of which we now accuse ourselves of having prepared, through a tragic blindness."

Pio Baroja, the most distinguished novelist of contemporary Spain and one of Spain's outstanding radical thinkers, says in *"La Esfera,"* Caracas, Venezuela, April 8, 1937:

"All this false veneer and all this lying which, if not engendered by the Republic, has been given life by it, has plunged the people, believing it a great thing, into a life and death struggle . . . These *fictios*, nursed by mediocre press, from a tumor which appears to be of great value to many of the people who believe that they are defending with it the civilization and the future of Spain.

"It is to be hoped that this tumor, formed by lies, will be cut out as soon as possible by the sword of the army."

And, finally, Miguel de Unamuno, Spain's leading philosopher and thinker, late president of the University of Salamanca, and outstanding liberal and founder of the Republic, says in a letter to a Belgian Socialist:

"I have wept, because a great tragedy has overtaken my country. Spain is becoming red, and blood is flowing; and do you know what this means? It means that in every Spanish home there dwells pain and anguish. And I, who believed that I was working for the good of my people, I, too, bear responsibility for this catastrophe. I was one of those who wanted to save mankind without knowing man.

"History had shown me the image of a great and splendid Spain. I felt the grief of its decadence. I thought that it was necessary to invoke Marxist democracy to raise it. I thought that an ancient tradition of Christian civilization could be substituted with impunity and even with profit by the dogma of the most "progressive" materialism: One day I greeted joyfully the advent of Spanish Republic. It was the dawn of a new era. Spain was going to live again! But Spain has been close to death."

THIS WAS ONCE A PEACEFUL STREET

RED REFUGEE FINDS A HOME

To the Editor:

It might interest you to hear that I had an awful setback the other day when I tried to help one of the so-called *poor* refugees from Germany.

My daughter who attends high school met in her class, a German refugee from Frankfort. I told my daughter to be kind and helpful to that girl, and of course, I became friendly with the mother, Mrs. S—. She was expecting her lift from Germany and asked me to be at her home the morning it was to be unloaded in the presence of customs officers.

She was rather nervous, for she had many valuable new things which came under duty. Luckily, the two customs officers who came were Jewish, and more than $400 duty was cancelled. The men, of course, received their tips.

Mrs. S. rented an apartment on University avenue for $65 and lives there happily on her German money, of which she seems to have plenty.

How did she do it? I can tell you. She had a hotel in Germany, and during all the years (long before Hitler came to power) she kept her money in Switzerland. Many instances of this occurred, which might explain why the Gentiles of Germany are not particularly loving to the Jews. Her husband is still in Germany, and he must get a Rumanian passport as a Rumanian citizen.

She was not mistreated or told to get out of the country, and she had to admit that all of the officials were very courteous to her.

Her son, 17 years of age, is here also and wants to be a journalist. I spoke to him one Sunday afternoon, encouraging him to become a good American citizen. He told me right to my face that he was a Communist and had led a youth group of his own race in Germany. Now, he says, he is going to be a social democrat. In reality he has not changed, as I discovered in our conversation. I was also told that a Jew who becomes a Christian is a person who cannot deserve any respect from the Jews.

As long as Jews to whom my heart went out in sympathy, despise the Son of God, I cannot go on being friendly with them.

All of the horrors of the revolution are coming back to me now again. Wasn't it Dr. Kurt Eisner, a Jew in the Ministerium in Munich, who made me look for refuge in a cellar for 10 days? Men, innocent men, were shot by the thousands and dumped by the carload into the cemeteries. Daughters of aristocratic parents were kept as hostages, and raped before they were killed.

I am a Christian, but I cannot defend the Jews any more. What about that boy 17 years old, who found a home here in America, and is ready to help destroy all that is dear and sacred to us?

May the Lord overrule and once more unite all Christians in faith to fight Communism.

—W. Lampson

Bronx, N. Y.

OUR LUNATIC FORMULA

To the Editor:

I can readily understand why city-bred people, who have never known anything but hand-to-mouth existence, and who are pumped full of the over-production nostalgia, can see no hope on the soil where alone men are free.

I do not wonder that they – once placed on a dole – can brook no change, for however inadequate a relief check, it symbolizes the only security they have ever known. Their vote is always in the bag for any yellow dog candidate of the party in power. No landlord or politician, no parasite of the dole, and, on general principles, no public employe, ever wants any change of party. I can understand these, but I cannot understand why you never discuss this angle of relief and recovery and have, I believe, on two occasions rejected letters of mine on this subject. Industry at best is seasonal and fluctuating; the natural reserve of the nation is its abundant, fertile acres. Fruit rots on the ground and families famish without it in every city of the land. The farmer and the railroads are bankrupt.

What is wrong here? Do we produce too little? And does that little have too far to travel? Have we too much of one crop, too much mass-production farming by non-farmers? Do we need cheap suburban transportation as an outlet for the victims of intolerable crowding in our bee-hive scheme of life? Confusion becomes worse confounded. Old age totters to work and youth is idle by law. Our young people are denied their birthright of natural living—of useful work, living wage and timely marriage. For all our prodigal and eternal schooling, our ism and ego complex, with every scientific and mechanical aid, we are unable to assure our people the food, clothing and shelter the caveman provided for himself.

Man wants standardized thought, ready-cut brains, regimented youth; the able-bodied of every land doing squads right and squads left. Self-sufficient man and his Frankenstein—proud man, having outgrown his Creator is outgrown by his own puny works. We are in the grip of galloping lunacy. There is the challenege. You may go to land's end for a formula that is stamped on our cheapest coin.

—R. Hall

New York City

OURS A FINANCIAL PROBLEM

To the Editor:

The articles by Virginia McKay on the Spanish war are just what most of us wanted—experiences by an eye witness. Most Social Justice readers had already diagnosed the struggle, the important part of which concerned the role that International Finance played in bringing on the conflict. One item appeared on this, viz. that the Rothschild interests were trying to depreciate General Franco's currency.

By their power to regulate money, international financiers have the taxing power also, so no one in the world can call his soul his own.

Our problem is not a technological one. That portion of existence has already been settled by our engineers. The problem is entirely financial, as anyone who read "The Plight of the Iron Horse" by Jean Perrin Schwartz can understand.

The railroads are a very small part of our complicated civilization. Investigation will reveal the same trouble in every phase of our life. Poverty in plenty is due to a financial system based on debt and usury.

H. C. B. Colvill

Missoula, Mont.

IS CENSORSHIP TO FOLLOW

To the Editor:

For one solid hour on Sunday, October 30th, from 8 o'clock until 9 o'clock, I heard over WABC the shuddering madness of worlds destroyed.

In fancy I saw over the huge network of radio stations this high, thin scream of audible insanity pouring out of millions of radio receivers, creeping its horror into the sleeping brains of little children—filling their hours of rest with fevered delirium.

It was the sabbath hour when formerly adolescent youth, at prayer, received spiritual guidance, but tonight they sat listening—their minds which should be dreaming the idealism of youth, were unconsciously having their dream world destroyed. Leaving their radio they doubtless thought, "The earth after all is a transient thing, and ideals and morality the babbling of priests and fools."

And no youthful mind could have heard that broadcast and have still retained his or her normal theme of thinking!

The broadcast was positively distorted vocal marihuana!

I myself, accustomed as I am to pondering the sordid side of life—accustomed to unidealistic analysis—during that program was undergoing a contraction of the heart muscles.

This broadcast was based on H. G. Wells', *"War of Worlds."* It was cleverly designed to appear as a news broadcast.

My queer instinct tells me that something is in the wind.

Whose money financed this nation-wide broadcast of one hour?

Are they, in their clever way, working for a strict censorship that will cut Father Coughlin and all others that Washington dislikes off the air?

Consider the nerve-wracking swing bands, the constant blaring barrage of sanity-destroying radio programs—and now, this broadcast, which has just about topped them all.

They stop Father Coughlin from this broadcasting chain and then permit this to go on.

Father Coughlin concerns himself too much with the factuality of the Protocols. I know by every sign that this program is at work every day.

The Protocols are more deadly than the imaginery Martian machines. These schemers are destroying our national mind, our culture, our idealistic conception of life, brotherly love and all the other things in which I was brought up to believe and cherish.

And better that they killed us outright than turn us into a nation of Godless beasts, with no tradition and no hope, as they have done in Russia and Spain.

—C. M.

Paterson, N. J.

THE URGENT NEED FOR ACTION

(Continued from Page 15)

the horrors perpetrated . . . and that it should have relatively so little to say concerning a world organization as vast as Russian Communism. This silence is due in part to a short-sighted political policy, and is favored by various occult forces which for a long time have been working for the overthrow of the Christian social order."

What are the fruits of this diffusion of Communism?

"The sorry effects," writes the Pope, "are before our eyes. Where Communism has been able to assert its power . . . it has striven by every possible means, as its champions openly boast, to destroy Christian civilization and the Christian religion by banishing every remembrance of them from the hearts of men, especially of the young. Bishops and priests were exiled, condemned to forced labor, shot and done to death in inhuman fashion; laymen suspected of defending their religion were vexed, persecuted, dragged off to trial, and thrown into prison." The Pope then enumerates in particular the ravages of the Communists in Spain, where "even up to the present moment, masses of them (laymen) are slain almost daily for no other offense than the fact that they are good Christians or at least opposed to atheistic Communism . . . with a hatred and a savage barbarity one would not have believed possible in our age."

"No man of good sense," concludes the Pope, "nor any statesman conscious of his responsibility can fail to shudder at the thought that what is happening today in Spain may perhaps be repeated in other civilized countries."

"For the first time in history," says the Holy Father, "we are witnessing a struggle . . . between man and "all that is called God.'" But Communism has overreached itself—terrorism is having the result of "former comrades in revolution exterminating each other." "This," to quote Father Owen Dudley in the October *Sign*—"is precisely what Communism is—a contradiction of human nature. A blinding of humanity to itself. A vast gulling of mankind with the fiction that it can find its salvation with itself. A lie against the truth of human nature."

"I ask an insane world to distinguish between the innocent Jew and the guilty Jew as much as I would ask the same insane world to distinguish between the innocent Gentile and the guilty Gentile".

Father Coughlin

(By "guilty" in above quotation is meant support of Communism as a social system.)

THE COMPANY WILL APPRECIATE SUGGESTIONS FROM ITS PATRONS CONCERNING ITS SERVICE 1201-S

WESTERN UNION (19)

NEWCOMB CARLTON, CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD — J. C. WILLEVER, FIRST VICE-PRESIDENT — W. W. WHITE, PRESIDENT

CLASS OF SERVICE
This is a full-rate Telegram or Cable-gram unless its de-ferred character is in-dicated by a suitable symbol above or pre-ceding the address.

SYMBOLS
DL = Day Letter
NM = Night Message
NL = Night Letter
LC = Deferred Cable
NLT = Cable Night Letter
Ship Radiogram

The filing time shown in the date line on telegrams and day letters is STANDARD TIME at point of origin. Time of receipt is STANDARD TIME at point of destination

1938 NOV 20 PM 5 22

Z39 15=BROOKLYN NY 20 506P S., Detroit, Mich. ALWAYS OPEN

REV CHARLES B COUGHLIN=RO=

PLEASE ACCEPT MY ADMIRATION FOR YOUR WONDERFUL MESSAGE YOU DELIVERED TODAY IN BEHALF OF HUMANITY=

BENJAMIN EPSTEIN.

WESTERN UNION C

THE COMPANY WILL APPRECIATE SUGGESTIONS FROM ITS PATRONS CONCERNING ITS SERVICE 1201-S

WESTERN UNION (46)

NEWCOMB CARLTON, CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD — J. C. WILLEVER, FIRST VICE-PRESIDENT — W. W. WHITE, PRESIDENT

CLASS OF SERVICE
This is a full-rate Telegram or Cable-gram unless its de-ferred character is in-dicated by a suitable symbol above or pre-ceding the address.

SYMBOLS
DL = Day Letter
NM = Night Message
NL = Night Letter
LC = Deferred Cable
NLT = Cable Night Letter
Ship Radiogram

The filing time shown in the date line on telegrams and day letters is STANDARD TIME at point of origin. Time of receipt is STANDARD TIME at point of destination

1938 NOV 21 AM 11 52

Z66 28 DL 5 EXTRA=NEWYORK NY 21 1127A WAYS PEN

FATHER CHARLES E COUGHLIN=RO=

AS A PERSON WEARING THE CLOAK OF SPREADING THE GOSPEL OF TRUTH AND BROTHERLY LOVE YOUR INSIPID REMARKS CERTAINLY SPREAD LIES AND LIES=

NORMAN KLEIN 4755 39 PLACE SUNNYSIDE NY.

The truth is out at last. No more pussy-footing. The fate of America depends upon whether Communism succeeds or fails.

Father Coughlin has dragged from the closet of hidden things the grinning spectre all Americans knew was there but feared to discuss in the open.

Now America can KNOW where Americans stand, Jews or Gentiles. Now America can sort the wheat from the chaff. Now more than ever can America shake off the incubus of foreign influence whether Communist or Nazi.

American Jews must renounce Communism and cease their attempts to poison the minds of misguided Gentiles with the venom of Russian atheism.

American Christians must then reciprocate by extending to Jews the hand of understanding, sympathy and cooperation.

By contributing to Father Coughlin's broadcasts, you are aiding in averting an anti-Semitic crisis in America.

Whether Jew or Gentile, your donation will help stave off the frightfulness that rises out of ignorance and misunderstanding.

Away with whispering and suspicion. Help give America the FACTS!

TRUTH CAN STILL SAVE US!

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., INC.
Royal Oak, Michigan.

I wish to contribute to the 1938-39 Broadcasting Fund so that Father Coughlin may continue to spread principles of social justice in America.

I hereby pledge the sum of $_____ which I am enclosing herewith or which I will send in two equal monthly remittances on or before Dec. 24 and on or before Jan. 24, 1939.

My Name Is _____

My Address Is _____ State _____

City or Town _____

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Ewing Galloway

AMERICA'S "HITLER"

EARL BROWDER is the Adolf Hitler of America.

He is the general secretary of the Communist party in the United States. And although Communism is supposed to be hostile to Fascism and Naziism—hostile to all dictatorship in fact—it is far from that. In Communist Russia there exists the most brutal dictatorship in the world of today.

Who is the head of the government of Russia? Any man in the street will tell you that Joseph Stalin is. Yet Joseph Stalin holds no elective office. Chairman of Russia's Central Executive Committee is Mikhail Kalinin. Head of the Council of People's Commissars in Russia is Viacheslav Molitov. Yet Stalin rules the Russian nation.

In America we have from eight to ten active political parties, each exercising whatever influence it is able to muster at the polls. One of them is the Communist party. Yet in Russia there is only one party—the Communist party. It has less than two million members in a nation of 175 million citizens.

Thus less than one per cent of the people rule the other 99 per cent. And even this one per cent never freely elected Joseph Stalin to any office. Joseph Stalin rules the one per cent.

What Stalin rules, Russia does. Yet Russia parades as a democracy. Is this democracy as we in America undertand it? Is this "twentieth century Americanism," as Browder tells us it is? Only America's elastic and misused "tolerance" permits the existence of Browder's party of destruction and dictatorship between the shores of the Atlantic and Pacific.

Just as Hitler barnstormed Germany from beer hall to corner meeting place with his hope of minority rule for Germany, so Browder moves from New York, to Terre Haute, to Los Angeles with his promise of minority rule for America.

Hitler sold his quack Naziism as a "social panacea" to a nation brought to its knees by oppression. Browder hopes to sell his system of social destruction to a befuddled nation. His raving cohorts hope first to deceive America, then enslave it. But they can be stopped.

Let America once learn the true story of "inside Russia." Let it once hear the true report of Russia's ruthless dictatorship; its definition of "democracy," and the slanting shadow of Browder will be rubbed out of American life. The "American Hitler" will have been denied the success enjoyed by his predecessor in unfortunate Germany.

Acme

THE MAN OF THE WEEK

PRINTED BY THE CUNEO PRESS, INC.